1	KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION					
2	Dial In: 253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 813 7919 8658 Passcode: 7643	03				
3						
4	August 20, 2024 @ 5:30 pm					
5 6 7 8 9	These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, timestamps are provided below).					
11 12	<u>Planning Commission (PC) Members present</u> : Joe Phillips (Chair), Aaron Murphy, Alan B Steven Boe, Jonathan Tudan, Richard Shattuck	eam,				
13	<u>Planning Commission Members absent</u> : Stacey Smith, Joey Soller, Kari Kaltenborn,					
14	Kitsap County Staff: Eric Baker					
15 16	<u>Department of Community Development (DCD) Staff present</u> : Jim Rogers, Clara Jewell, Walston (Clerk)	Amanda				
17						
18	5:30 pm					
19	• Introductions					
20	Virtual Meeting Protocol					
21	Adoption of Agenda					
22 23	 Minutes of 3/26/24, 4/2/24, 4/16/24. 5/21/24, 7/2/24 – deferred to nex meeting 	:t				
24	 Agenda adopted by Unanimous Consent 					
25	Adoption of Minutes					
26	 Minutes of 3/26/24, 4/2/24, 4/16/24 & 5/21/24 – deferred to next meet 	ting				
27	General Public Comment					
28	 Chair Phillips opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony 	' .				
29 30	 SPEAKER: Bill Palmer, South Kitsap Resident, President Kitsap Alliance Property Owners (KAPO) 	of				
31 32	 Comments regarding cost of studies and the purpose, propose preservation requirements and sea level rise. 	d tree				
33	 Briefing: Sea Level Rise – Jim Rogers, DCD Planner 					

	Kitsup county Flumming commission minutes - Maguet 20, 202
1 2	 Introduced Dawn Spilsbury, Sea Level Rise Technical Lead, also in charge of GIS and Mapping for Facet.
3 4 5	 Alexandra Plumb, Project Manager at Facet, reviews presentation: overview, consultant team, introduces Jessica Cote, Coastal Processes Lead with Blue Coast.
6 7	 Ms. Plumb reviews project purpose: identify assets with potential loss, complete risk analysis, and propose region-specific actions/projects.
8	 Ms. Spilsbury reviews project analysis.
9	 Question: Alan Beam requests background of company and qualifications.
10 11 12	 Ms. Spilsbury explains the recent merge of Watershed & Blue coast into new company called Facet. Ms. Spilsbury reviews backgrounds of primary consultants on this project.
13 14	 Ms. Cote explains that Blue Coast is 10-person company with office in Bellingham and Lake Forest Park.
15 16 17 18	 Ms. Spilsbury reviews map development overview noting that the QAPP (Quality Assurance Project Plan) is required by DOE. Ms. Spilsbury reviews projections: probability confidence, international predictions based on emissions, and tide gauge trends.
19 20 21	 Ms. Spilsbury reviews and shares a table showing activity by year and level of probability referencing 2018 & 2019 reports by Dr. Miller and his team; also notes projections by Technical Advisory Committee.
22 23 24	 Ms. Spilsbury discusses next steps including modeling Sea Level Rise – Projections displayed over a DEM; intersect mapped resources with new tidal surfaces; quantify and rank impacts.
25	Jessica Cote reviews the Wind Wave.
26 27 28 29 30 31	 Ms. Spilsbury reviews Assets for Vulnerability Assessment including Roads, Transportation, Hospitals, Police., Fire stations, other civic utilities; schools, libraries; residences; agricultural/farmland; on-site septic systems; electrical substations; historic & cultural resources; group "A" wells, WWTPs (Wastewater Treatment Plants); Beach Access, Parks; Wetlands, Estuaries; Marinas, Bays; Brownfield Sites, Landfills.
32	 Question: Rick Shattuck asks about saltwater intrusion into wells.
33 34	 Ms. Spilsbury responds that this is not part of this analysis; it is very site specific.

• Ms. Spilsbury responds that the area is shoreline jurisdiction in Kitsap

and that Bremerton and Port Orchard will eventually be mapped.

• Question: Alan Beam asks about cities or major industrial sites.

35

36

37

1 2 3 4	 Ms. Plumb reviews Audit of Existing Development Regulations and Policies ar reviews Community Participation Plan including timeline, TAC meeting series, PC and BoCC, Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) briefings, public survey, drawdocs, community engagement, Final Report, estimate ending date June 2025. 		
5 6 7	 Ms. Plumb references example of a StoryMap in Pacific County Story Map & Port of Port Townsend Web Map; asks for feedback from PC related to Public Survey as presented. 		
8 9	 Question: Alan Beam asks about bulkheads as related to the Shoreline Master Plan, Comp Plan, and County recommendations. 		
l0 l1	 Ms. Cote notes that DCDs Christina Kereki is on the TAC. Also clarifies that bulkheads do not act as flood protection. 		
L2 L3	 Ms. Spilsbury states that resources for property owners are included in report, but the study will not advise either way. 		
L4 L5	 Question/Answer: Jonathan Tudan asks, and Ms. Cote confirms, this report will also include wetland obstruction also knows as shoreline armory. 		
L6	 Ms. Plumb reviews survey questions and discusses next steps and timeline. 		
L7	 Comment: Alan Beam suggests including earthquake projections in this report. 		
18	6:20 pm		
L9	BREAK		
20	6:26 pm		
21	Briefing: 2024 Comp Plan Update – Eric Baker		
22 23 24	 Mr. Baker provides a brief review, referencing a visual presentation, noting revised draft Comp Plan documents will be published on August 30th, 2024, with PC review beginning at 9/3/2024 meeting. 		
25 26 27 28	 Mr. Baker reviews schedule noting special meetings on 9/24/2024 for the public hearing; public outreach will also continue during other public meetings; Board adoptions set for 12/2/2024 with a 60-day appeal period. Anticipated implementation around February 14th. 		
29 30 31 32	 Mr. Baker reviews Draft Tree Canopy Requirements noting the BoCC's intent is to ensure trees are a part of new development in urban areas and directed staff to develop requirements with established side boards as a part of the preferred alternatives. 		
33 34 35 36	 Mr. Baker notes requirements apply to urban areas only; subdivision of land or single-family and multi-family development creating 4 of more lots or units. Retention of trees greater than 18 DBH encouraged. Retention preferred to replacement; also reviews tree unit requirements. 		

1 2	 Question/Answer: Chair Phillips asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, terms are based on Arborist and Pierce County terms.
3	 Question/Answer: Jonothan Tudan asked, and Mr. Baker confirms, that private
4	property would be considered a non-protected tract.
5	 Mr. Baker reviews the tree canopy protection plan, tree protection during
6	construction, and tree maintenance.
7	 Question/Answer: Richard Shattuck asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, there will be
8	a tree health monitoring plan in place. be monitored.
9	 Comment: Aaron Murphy notes narrower lot widths to allow tracts for trees or
10	other factors, can result in higher cost to develop or sell home; Mr. Baker
11	notes increased costs are site specific and dependent on the project.
12 13 14	 Question/Answer: Mr. Shattuck notes current housing shortage, asks if this optional code can be delayed until housing shortage improves; Mr. Baker confirms a delay is possible.
15	 Mr. Baker discusses main considerations including small lots, critical areas,
16	flexibility in lot size dimensions, housing types; additional effort and cost
17	required for development; tree bank system options, and protection of
18	isolated retained trees.
19	 Mr. Baker discusses next steps including outreach, revised draft release,
20	stakeholder discussions, public hearing, and BoCC adoption process.
21	 Question/Answer: Mr. Shattuck asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, this code will be
22	included within Development Regulations.
23	 Question/Answer: Chair Phillips asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, scoring system
24	based on arborist calculations, whole numbers may be considered.
25	 Question/Answer: Aaron Murphy asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, difference
26	beyond landscape code depends on projects and critical areas.
27	 Question/Answer: Mr. Tudan asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, there is a
28	calculation to factor in multi-trunk trees but was removed to reduce confusion.
29	 Question/Answer: Alan Beam asks, and Mr. Baker confirms, the August 30th
30	draft will include specificity on multi-clusters and groves.
31	 Question: Alan Beam asks what is driving this? Who proposed this idea?
32	 Mr. Baker notes other jurisdictions throughout the Pacific Northwest
33	already have tree codes that are more restrictive. As density
34	increases, optional landscaping, heat blooms, and new federal
35	stormwater regulations will need to be addressed. BoCC want to get
36	ahead of this challenge.

0 8

1 2	 Mr. Bakers asks that any PC questions regarding the Comp Plan or other requested information go through the Clerk for review at next meeting. 			
3	Discussion regarding DCD recruitment process.			
4	7:13 pm			
5	General Public Comment			
6	 Chair Phillips opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony. 			
7	SPEAKER: Mike Garrett			
8 9	 Comments regarding Sea Level Rise and saltwater intrusion; nationwide tree canopy issues. 			
10	SPEAKER: Beverly Parksons, Hansville			
11 12 13	 Comments regarding tree regulations, climate change, comparison to other county regulations, protection for all citizens not just developers. 			
14 15	 Chair Phillips calls again for speakers; as there are no other speakers; closes the floor to general speakers 			
16	For the Good of the Order/Commissioner Comments			
17 18	Chair Phillips shares that the upcoming schedule will be very busy.			
19	Meeting adjourned by unanimous consent.			
20	Time of Adjournment: 7:19 pm			
21	Minutes approved this 17th day of Scatter ber 2024.			
22	***			
23	LDE-			
24	Alan Beam, Acting Planning Commission Chair			
25	$\sqrt{1}$			
26	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk			
27	Amanda Waiston, Planning Commission Clerk			

		1