FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION, TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO KITSAP COUNTY CODE TITLE 19 'CRITICAL AREAS ORDINANCE'

<u>General Background.</u> The Kitsap County Planning Commission makes general findings as follows:

- Kitsap County's Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) (Title 19 KCC) implements the requirements of Chapter 36.70A RCW, the Growth Management Act (the Act). RCW 36.70A.020 includes planning goal (10) Environment, to guide development regulations to "protect the environment and enhance the state's high quality of life, including air and water quality, and the availability of water".
- 2. RCW 36.70A.050 directs the Washington Department of Commerce to adopt guidelines to guide the classification of critical areas, in consultation with the Department of Ecology. These are minimum guidelines that apply to all jurisdictions, but also allows for regional differences.
- 3. RCW 36.70A.040 directs the County to designate critical areas and adopt development regulations protecting these critical areas under RCW 36.70A.170 and RCW 36.70A.060.
- 4. RCW 36.70A.130(4) directs Kitsap County to "take action to review, and if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations" by December 31, 2024.
- 5. In 2010, the Department of Commerce established a new rule in WAC 365-196-580 on the integration of the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) with the GMA. This rule addressed overlaps between designated critical areas and shorelines of the state.
- 6. Also in 2010, new rules were established in WAC 365-196-830 addressing the protection of critical areas. This rule indicates that regulations must preserve the existing functions and values of critical areas, but if harm is allowed, they must require compensatory mitigation of the harm. It also addresses appropriate scales for evaluating functions and values, the use of both regulatory and non-regulatory measures, and the use of best available science, as referenced in chapter 365-195 WAC.
- 7. Kitsap County's Critical Areas Ordinance was first adopted in 1998, and last amended in 2017.

<u>Procedural history.</u> The Kitsap County Planning Commission makes general procedural findings as follows:

8. As part of the required periodic update to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, the Board of County Commissioners approved the CAO Update Scope of Work, Schedule, and Public Participation Plan at a briefing on March 6, 2023.

- 9. In February, County staff began regular bi-weekly coordination meetings with Tribal staff from U&A Tribal governments within the jurisdiction (Suquamish, Port Gamble S'Klallam, Skokomish, Squaxin Island, Puyallup). These meetings continued throughout the update process.
- 10. An initial public notice was distributed on March 20, 2023 to inform that the periodic update of the CAO was commencing in conjunction with the Comprehensive Plan Update, along with a rough outline of the schedule and direction to the CAO project website. This was followed on March 21 with a briefing to the Planning Commission on the Public Participation Plan.
- 11. On May 5, 2023 by a notice for a virtual Kick-Off Meeting was provided, and held on May 17, 2023.
- 12. On June 1, 2023 a *Best Available Science Summary Report* was published online and noticed. This document provided a review of additional science published since the previous review for the 2016/17 CAO update.
- 13. On June 21, 2023, the *Consistency and Gap Analysis* was also published. This document compared the current Kitsap County CAO against the findings of the *Best Available Science Summary Report* and any other legislative changes, and provided recommendations for implementation.
- 14. On June 28, 2023 the Board of County Commissioners were briefed on the *Best Available Science Summary Report* and *Consistency and Gap Analysis Report*. This was followed on July 18, 2023 by a similar briefing to the Planning Commission.
- 15. Beginning in July 2023, Working Groups were held to review the *Best Available Science Summary Report* and *Consistency and Gap Analysis* with County staff and to provide any additional feedback and discussion on possible ways to implement the additional information. Working Groups consisted of standing members (from the County, tribes, and interests from the development, property-rights and environmental communities) and ad-hoc members from applicable state and local agencies with subject-matter expertise.
- 16. Working Group meetings were held on July 20, 2023 and December 12, 2023 for 19.300- Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas; July 25, 2023 and November 30, 2023 for 19.200- Wetlands; July 26, 2023 and November 6, 2023 for 19.500- Frequently Flooded Areas; July 27, 2023 and October 31, 2023 for 19.600- Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas; and July 27, 2023 and October 10, 2023 for 19.400- Geologically Hazardous Areas. Summaries of the Working Group meetings were published on the website. The Planning Commission was briefed on the Working Group discussions at a regular meeting on September 5, 2023.
- 17. In August, 2023, staff provided updates to the Community Advisory Councils (CACs) of Manchester (8/1); Central Kitsap (8/2); Suquamish (8/3); and Kingston (8/9).

- 18. In support of the discussions with the Working Groups and to provide clarification to the BAS and state agency recommendations, two technical memos were also provided and published online: WDFW Riparian Management Guidance Memo (12/8/23) and Frequently Flooded Areas Suggested Revisions (10/12/23). The Planning Commission was briefed on these documents at a regular meeting on January 16, 2024.
- 19. On March 8, 2024 staff released the Preliminary Draft CAO for public review and comment through April 26, 2024. The draft consisted of underline/strikeout text changes to the current CAO (Title 19 KCC) based on the Best Available Science Summary Report, the Consistency and Gap Analysis, and Working Group feedback. In addition, a Summary Matrix of Proposed Changes was also published to provide a clear index of the edits within the Preliminary Draft. In addition, a Summary Matrix of Proposed Changes was also published to provide a clear index of the edits within the Preliminary Draft.
- 20. In conjunction with the release of the Preliminary Draft, a SEPA DNS was also issued on March 8, 2024, with a 14-comment period through March 22, 2024.
- 21. Prior to and during the initial comment period, staff provided presentations to the Manchester (3/5), Kingston (4/10), and Central Kitsap (3/6) Citizen Advisory Councils. Meetings were also requested and provided to interest groups including Kitsap Building Association (KBA) and Kitsap Environment Coalition (KEC).
- 22. At regularly scheduled meetings that were open to the public, the Board of County Commissioners were briefed for the duration of 2023 and into 2024, usually in conjunction with the Comprehensive Plan. Briefings specific to CAO were held on 3/6/2023; 1/3/2024; 2/5/2024; 3/4/2024; and 5/6/2024.
- 23. On April 2 and 16, 2024, at open public meetings, the Planning Commission held Work Studies on the Preliminary Draft (3/8/24) changes to the CAO.
- 24. On May 6, the Board received a briefing on the Planning Commission process and public comment received through the Preliminary Draft Comment Period.
- 25. On May 14 and May 21, 2024, DCD advertised and held in-person Open Houses to provide opportunity to review the Preliminary draft and discuss the changes and ask questions of staff prior to the scheduled Planning Commission Hearing.
- 26. After timely and effective notice, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Preliminary Draft CAO on May 21, 2024.
- 27. At public meetings on June 4 and 18, 2024, the Planning Commission deliberated on the draft CAO. With the posting of the agenda on the Planning Commission website, staff provided the Planning Commission and public with a matrix comparing the current CAO with changes in the 3/8 Preliminary Draft, as well as staff recommendations to address based public comment to-date.

 28. Planning Commission voted unanimously at the end of the June 18, 2024 meeting to recommend approval of the 3/8 Preliminary Draft CAO, incorporating the text revisions voted on during the deliberations (Appendix A).

<u>Substantive Findings and Conclusions.</u> The Kitsap County Planning Commission makes the following substantive Findings and Conclusions:

- 29. The proposed CAO submitted herewith is consistent with the 15 statewide planning goals contained within the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A, with the GMA itself, and with applicable regulations.
- 30. The proposed CAO is consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies and with the goals and policies of the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, including the Capital Facilities Plan.
- 31. The proposed CAO is reflects local circumstances and protects the public health, safety, and welfare.

NOW THEREFORE, the Kitsap County Planning Commission recommends to the Department of Community Development as follows:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt the proposed CAO text as presented by staff and amended by the Planning Commission. The final recorded motion reads as follows:

"Consider by Paragraph and recommend adoption of changes to the 2024 Critical Areas Ordinance, as presented by staff and amended by the Planning Commission"

This motion passed unanimously and was supported by 8 approved motions that made changes to the March 8, 2024 CAO presented by staff.

Attachments:

1. Appendix A: Comment/Response and Motions Matrix

Approved by the Planning Commission of Kitsap County, Washington, at a regular meeting thereof, held this 2nd day of July, 2024.

By Joe Phillips, Chair

2024 Critical Areas Ordinance Update: Comment Summary and Response Matrix with Staff Recommended Revisions (3/8/24-4/26/24 and 5/21/24 Planning Commission Hearing)

2024 Critical Are	as Ordinance Update: Comme	nt Summary and Response Matrix wi	th Staff Recommended Revisions (3/8/24-4/26/24 and 5/2	21/24 Planning Commission Hearing)		
*Note: This matrix doe:	s not represent all comments and responses,	, but rather is a consolidation of key issues and propo	osed edits by staff based on the public comment. A full comment/response matrix o	of the comment numbers referenced is also available as a separate document. Minor, non-	substantive edits recommended in comments may also not be included in this matrix, but may stil	l be incorporated as appropriate.
	Topic/Code	Summary of Issue	Staff Response	Existing Code (if applicable)	Recommended Change for Consideration	Planning Commission Recommendation
	POLICY					
	Enforcement		While enforcement policies, more generally, are outside the scope of this code update, DCD is taking measures to reduce noncompliance			
			through increased tracking and monitoring efforts and the proposal of a			
			mitigation protection covenant.			
4 6 44 47 25 55		DCD to the control of the CAC				
4; 6; 14; 17; 35; ; 55	No Net Loss	DCD needs to enforce the CAO	Additional mitigation options are being proposed and off-site options			
	INO INEL LOSS		may also become available in the near future. Further, standards have			
			been added to the 3/8/24 draft which require a 'fully functioning buffer			
7;20; 40;47; 43		Inadequate Standard	when one does not exist.			
			The baseline for no-net-loss is assessed at the time of the project			
			proposal and compares the existing conditions to the conditions with proposed development. Projects that meet the standard buffers and			
		No baseline; cannot be quantified/should				
25; 37		be quantified	BAS.			
42		Supported	Comment noted.			
	Net Ecological Gain	Adopt NEG over NNL	Net Ecological Gain is not yet required by state law and the state has			
			funded efforts to further define NEG and develop an implementation			
			framework. Until then, Kitsap County will continue to focus on enhancing our tracking and monitoring efforts. Additionally, the			
			Department of Ecology has provided recent guidance that the			
			recommended buffer widths are only acceptable when 'fully vegetated'			
			Therefore, the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft includes provisions for			
			enhancing wetland buffer vegetation in certain cases.			
12; 14; 40; 47	Variances		Any application for a buffer reduction or variance needs to be			
	Varidites		consistent with mitigation sequencing requirement in KCC 19.100.155.			
			and variance criteria in KCC 19.100.135.A. Kitsap County will need to			
			focus on fully developing a tracking and monitoring program to			
			effectively determine how these standards may need to be revised.			
8; 9; 11; 12; 14; 30; 37; 40; 45		Too many				
29; 37; 54; 58		Allow no greater than 25%				
23, 37, 34, 30		Require Type III Variance for any buffer				
43		reduction				
45		No administrative buffers				
	Best Available Science		The BAS review completed in support of the 2024 CAO update provides			
		Lashian arranat at raina an ant baina	a number of references from available sources. Many of these sources			
12; 20		Lacking current studies or not being followed	themselves include extensive literature reviews completed by state agencies.			
12, 20		From state should not be used	Under GMA, state agencies are an acceptable source of BAS and so the	,		
			were among the sources the County relied on. Kitsap County has used			
			the criteria in WAC 365-195-905, including the "use [of] information			
			that local, state or federal natural resource agencies have determined			
			represents the best available science".			
25						
			Kitsap County is proposing buffers that are consistent with Best			
			Available Science and state recommended guidance. Kitsap County has also proposed additional standards for addressing situations where			
			buffers are not adequately vegetated. This is more protective of critical			
			areas than the current CAO. The Alternative UGA buffer allowance			
			recognizes that some buffers would not reasonably be able to achieve			
			full riparian function due the surrounding, built environment. This			
			allows for certain redevelopment and infill to occur when specific			
			criteria are met and incentivizes ecosystem restoration. These required			
			criteria are key for allowing lower buffer as an alternative within the			
			UGA only. Staff are preparing further documentation to support the proposed buffer widths. The proposed UGA alterative was also			
		Needs to be followed; no alternative	proposed, in part, to explore options for urban areas to meet GMA			
43; 47		buffers	goals, such as reduced sprawl and provision of affordable housing.			
	Agriculture		The County must adhere to Best Available Science to protect critical	19.100.125- Exemptions; B.		
			area functions and values. A standard 'variance' of that magnitude	Preexisting and ongoing agricultural activities on lands containing critical		
			would not be supportable. The CAO, however, does currently include	areas, as defined in Section 19.150.285 .		
			provisions for existing and ongoing agriculture and the use of Farm	Sections 19.200.225.B and 19.300.315.H both have provisions for new or		
			Management Plans to help meet standards for expanded agriculture.	expanded agriculture: Agricultural Restrictions. In all development proposals that would introduce or expand agricultural activities, a net loss of functions		
				and values to the critical area shall be avoided by at least one of the following		
				methods:		
				Locate fencing no closer than the outer buffer edge; or		
				Implement a farm resource conservation and management plan agreed		
				upon by the conservation district and the applicant to protect and enhance the		
				fish and wildlife habitat conservation area.		
17		Exemptions needed				
	Amphibians		Additional BMPs to protect amphibians when present are considered			
			below in 19.700. In addition, please note that the Ecological Assessmen			
			component of wetland reports (19.700.715) require "Description of any animals (including amphibians) using the wetland being affected or its			
			buffer." Other sections incentivize or require habitat corridors to			
			provide connectivity between and to critical areas, in part due to the			
			varied life-stage needs of amphibian and other species. The			
			classifications for critical areas are defined by the state. Fish and			
			Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas are defined as Class I and II, and			
			determined by a species listed status (federal or state), areas targeted			
			for preservation and local species of importance. Kitsap County has not yet identified a species of local importance. The state (WDFW) only			
			provides management recommendations for species that are listed at			
			the state level. There are some amphibian species which are addressed			
			by the state (WDFW Management Recommendations for Washington's			
			Priority Species: Volume III Amphibians and Reptiles) that would require			
			a Habitat Management Plan if known or discovered in association with			
		2	proposed development.			
21; 27; 39; 40; 43		Protect; require BMPs	Additional DASP to protect combile		See specific sections below for proposed edits.	
40		Silt fencing criteria needed to allow for small animal/amphibian crossing	Additional BMPs to protect amphibians when present are considered below in 19.700.			
10	1	Sman animayampinulan crossing	DEIGH III 25.700.		<u> </u>	

	Habitat Corridors					
			Habitat corridors would be identified on a case-by-case basis.			
			Identifying or mapping such areas County-wide is outside the scope of			
			the CAO. There are no enforcement mechanisms for such areas to be protected outside of the project-level (covenant), or one of the			
			voluntary protection mechanisms available such as Open Space or			
			habitat acquisition through state/federal grant programs. Wildlife			
			corridors are noted as important features that should be maintained and protected (prioritized) when possible. There are provisions to			
			reduce buffer widths, for example, when these corridors are protected.			
			A general definition may be considered, but a corridor will look and provide different functions in each location and detailed definition may			
			become too restrictive. While acknowledging their importance, the CAO			
			cannot establish or require buffers or restrictive covenants on property			
			outside of the subject parcel(s) requesting a land use or development permit. Larger habitat corridors are going to be most effective through			
40; 42; 46		Needed; incorporate where possible	voluntary or incentive-based approaches or acquisitions.			
	Need for update	It is unnecessary	GMA requires jurisdictions to review and, if necessary, revise			
			development regulation and, with regard to critical area regulations,			
			requires that code be updated based on the latest Best Available			
			Science (BAS) as provided in chapter 365-195 WAC. This CAO was reviewed along with updated BAS from state agencies and others and it			
25; 38			was determined that edits were necessary or warranted.			
	Property Rights		The recent Sheetz v. County of El Dorado case from the US Supreme			
			Court stands for the rule that legislative actions (e.g., regulations) are subject to the same restrictions against the taking of public property as			
		G	specific permit conditions. This is not new in Washington State and so			
25		Compensation (Sheetz vs. El Dorado); laci of analysis	will not change how jurisdictions, such as Kitsap County, enact legislation.			
		Not considered	Property rights are included among the policy goals of the CAO, which is			
			consistent with GMA (KCC 10.100.100(B)(4)). In line with this non-			
			exclusive goal, the CAO provides multiple provisions for the protection			
			property rights while also protecting the functions and values of critical areas. These include administrative buffer reductions, exemptions to			
			existing development, variances, and reasonable use exception. The			
			Reasonable Use Exception is an available but rarely needed provision to avoid takings prohibited by the state and federal constitution because			
			the CAO draft has been reviewed against the Washington State			
			Attorney General's Advisory Memorandum and Recommended Process			
			of Evaluating Proposed Regulatory or Administrative Actions to Avoid Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property as well as more recent			
25			case law.			
23						
			The planning goals of the Growth Management Act (RCW 36. 70A. 020)			
			include both Environment and Property rights. Kitsap County must balance these goals, of which neither has priority over the other. The			
			current CAO and these proposed changes have accomplished this. In			
			addition, the proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden			
			(process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our			
25. 20		Affordability; public-funded reports	Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for			
25; 38	Clearing / Tree retention	Allordability; public-lunded reports	decreasing permitting burden than the current code.			
			A new goal proposed in the Comp Plan, along with policies and			
	cleaning / Tree retention	,	A new goal proposed in the Comp Plan, along with policies and strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect			
	cleaning / Tree retention		strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the			
	Cleaning / Hee recention		strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in			
25	dealing / Hee leteritori		strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate	10.100.100		
25	Permit Processing	Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in	19.100.130.B		
25			strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process	19.100.130.8		
25			strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically	19.100.130.8		
25 25; 49; 51; 56			strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code.	19.100.130.8		
		Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type III and Type III buffer	19.100.130.8		
		Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy	19.100.130 B		
		Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfifter irsks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to	19.100.130.8		
		Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy	19.100.130.8		
		Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, It will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in uffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the	19.100.130.8		
		Fire hazard	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in uffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for 17ype II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the	19.100.130.B		
		Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is "technically complete" does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an	19.100.130.8		
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45		Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time.	19.100.130.8	Recommend clarifying where a Tyne I process is identified us. Tyne II or Tyne III	
25; 49; 51; 56		Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Cinnate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be	19.100.130 8	Recommend clarifying where a Type I process is identified, vs. Type II or Type III.	
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfifter risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework.	19.100.130.8	Recommend clarifying where a Type I process is identified, vs. Type II or Type III.	
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Cinnate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be	19.100.130.8	Recommend clarifying where a Type I process is identified, vs. Type II or Type III.	
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in uffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well,	19.100.130.8	Recommend clarifying where a Type I process is identified, vs. Type II or Type III.	
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed.	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in uffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be innorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent	19.100.130.8 See policies below	Recommend clarifying where a Type I process is identified, vs. Type II or Type III. See policies below	
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Cancur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in uffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be innorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfifter issks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is *technically complete* does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' does not preclude staff store. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical a			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfifter issks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is *technically complete* does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' does not preclude staff store. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical a			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical ar			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in four fires is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is "technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' does not preclude staff store the change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highl			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitgation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical are			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, It will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in buffers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is auailable. Buffer mitigation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical ar			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in unifers is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is 'technically complete' does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is available. Buffer mitgation is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multiple purposes, with even minimal vegetated buffers in highly developed settings still providing some functions to the critical are			
25; 49; 51; 56 29; 37; 43; 45 43 25	Permit Processing Climate Change Mitigation	Fire hazard Will be slowed down; unaffordable Notification on all buffer reductions; post online; no rationale in online notice for why reports not required. Clarify what type of permits are needed. Has no merit Incorporate more Mitigation should only be applied when buffers serve a 'meaningful purpose'	strategies, is to address regulations and incentives to protect development against wildfire risks. If regulations are appropriate for the CAO, it will be updated at that time. Additionally, there are Danger tree provisions in the current and proposed CAO, and while tree retention in four fires is preferred, trees can be limbed or thinned to accommodate safety through these provisions. The proposed revisions to the CAO were carefully drafted to specifically include provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden (process exemptions) and incentives for redevelopment within our Urban Growth Areas. The proposal provides more provisions for decreasing permitting burden than the current code. Public notice is currently required for Type II and Type III buffer reductions and variances, but not for Type I. There is no legal requirement for noticing Type I applications. Doing so would be a policy decision by the Board of County Commissioners and would need to consider the resources necessary to implement. Permit intake for determining an application is "technically complete" does not preclude staff from requesting additional or revised special reports through the course of a full review. Only those documents submitted at the time an application is determined 'technically complete' are posted online at this time. Concur. Climate change is now a stated planning goal of GMA and must be incorporated into the County's planning framework. Climate change is proposed as a new chapter to the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, with a number of reports and studies under way or planned. While policies are now included in the CAO as well, development standards are not proposed at this time, until supporting information is administered on a site-specific basis and the extent to which is determined necessary to meet the 'no net loss' standard or safety needs. Buffers serve multipl			

	Tracking and Monitoring		DCD is in the process of developing a more robust tracking and			
			monitoring program. The County has proposed adding a recorded			
			covenant requirement for any critical area mitigation areas to ensure their long-term maintenance. A more robust tracking and monitoring			
			program is in the works as well, but there is currently no requirement			
. 26		Needed; Require a Notice to Title	for long term reporting on critical areas outside of mitigation, which is also limited in duration.			
36	Clarity	Generally needed throughout	Concur.		See code-specific sections below.	
	Third-Party Access	Allow third-party (opponent) access to a				
		project site to conduct their own	Kitsap County does not have legal authority to allow access by a third			
: 43	Vesting	professional assessment Limit to 2-years	party.			
	· County	zimic to z years	KCC 21.04 addresses permit vesting. Land use (subdivision, etc.)			
			applications are vested throughout the permitting process from			
			Preliminary Plat to Final Plat, so long as the applications do not expire.			
			However, after land use is completed, subsequent building permit(s) may require additional review under current standards per KCC			
			19.100.120(C) "where the department determines, based on review of			
			current information that the prior conditions will result in a detrimental			
			impact to a critical area ." This is especially likely to be necessary for development proposed within an older plat, but it will depend on the			
			conditions recorded on the plat.			
	CODE SPECIFIC		·			
	19.100	For consistancy with added toxt in				
	19.100.105.A- Goal	For consistency with added text in 19.300.350.E, add "preserved and		A. Goal Statement. It is the goal of Kitsap County that the beneficial functions	A. Goal Statement. It is the goal of Kitsap County that the beneficial functions and	
		restored" to goal statement	Concur.	and values of critical areas be preserved []	values of critical areas be preserved <u>and restored</u> []	
	19.100.105.B.1- Policy	similar to addition of 'restore' in goal	6		Comment of the control of the contro	
	19.100.105.B.11- Policy	statement	Concur.	Conserve and protect the environmental factors []	Conserve, and protect and restore the environmental factors [] Revise this policy to: "Prevent cumulative adverse environmental impacts to water, Output Description: Revise this policy to: "Prevent cumulative adverse environmental impacts to water, Output Description: Description: Description:	
					watershed processes, wetlands, fish and wildlife, habitats (including migration	
					corridors), frequently flooded areas, geologically hazardous areas, and aquifer	
					recharge areas to facilitate the goal of no net loss of critical areas"	
		Channe Hannel I I	-			
43; 44; 45; 47		Change "consider adverse impacts" to "prevent adverse impacts".	Concur; retain existing policy and incorporate additional language from the WDFW recommendations as in the Preliminary Draft.	"Consider the cumulative impacts of the proposed action"		
	19.100.105.B.13- Policy	p. Concourtise impacts .	and the street of the street o	13. Encourage applicants to consider the potential impacts of climate	Revise this policy to: 13. Avoid potential conflict due to impacts from climate change	
			Concur; however policies do not include requirements ('shall'). Sea level	change and sea level rise, particularly if development is near marine	by planning for and considering them during project development. This may include,	
			rise is an important issue and was just recently required to be	snoromes, aujacent noou nazaru areas, or low-lying areas.	but is not limited to impacts of sea level rise, storm frequency and adaptive	
			addressed in future Comprehensive Plan updates under a climate		<u>vegetation needs.</u>	
		Be more specific on how applicants and reviewers will be encouraged to address	change and resiliency element. Following policy development by Kitsap County in the Comp Plan, implementing development regulations will			
43;45; 47		climate change; make this a 'shall'	be adopted/updated consistent with state law and schedules.			Motion: To remove "adaptive vegetation needs" and replace with "wildfire".
		Include the words "and to plan for" after				
		"consider"	Concur	see above.	see above.	
	19.100.120.A.4- Review Authority					
				4. Whether the protection mechanisms and the mitigation, and monitoring	4. Whether the protection mechanisms and the mitigation, -and monitoring,	
		Add as proposed to include other report		plans and bonding measures proposed by the applicant are sufficient to protect the public health, safety and welfare consistent with the goals,	maintenance and contingency plans and bonding measures proposed by the applicant are sufficient to protect the environment, public health, safety and welfare	
		elements provided in support of a project		purposes and objectives of this title, and if not, condition the permit or	consistent with the goals, purposes and objectives of this title, and if not, condition	
		approval.	Concur	approval accordingly.	the permit or approval accordingly.	
	19.100.125.C- Exemptions					
		Normal and routine maintenance			C. Normal and routine maintenance and operation of preexisting	
		and operation of preexisting livestock water ponds and <u>artificial</u>			retention/detention facilities, biofilters and other storm water management facilities,	
		waterways , provided that such		C. Normal and routine maintenance and operation of preexisting	irrigation and drainage ditches, farm ponds, fish ponds, manure lagoons <u>artificial</u> <u>waterways</u> , and livestock water ponds, provided that such activities shall not involve	
		activities shall not involve		retention/detention facilities, biofilters and other storm water management	conversion of any wetland, <u>riparian</u> , <u>or aquatic areas</u> not currently being used for	
		conversion of any wetland, <u>riparian</u> or <u>aquatic areas</u> not currently being		facilities, irrigation and drainage ditches, farm ponds, fish ponds, manure lagoons, and livestock water ponds, provided that such activities shall not	such activity.	
		used for such activity.	Concur.	involve conversion of any wetland not currently being used for such activity.		
	19.100.130- Existing development	Current conditions should not allow for	This provision is not new, but was added to provide clarity to existing			
			policy and code, as well as to recognize that some functions over a			
		'functionally disconnected buffers')	limited portion of the buffer may be lost due to the disconnection from more permanent structures. It does NOT exempt from the rest of the			
			CAO provisions, including assessment by a biologist for 'no net loss',			
			retention of significant trees, etc.			
7						
	19.100.130.A.3.	A.3.c is too ambiguous that 'expansion is not feasible'; need to demonstrate.	Partially concur. Propose adding 'demonstrate' rather than just 'met' for the overall list of criteria.	New construction or related activity connected with an existing single-family dwelling may be considered exempt from additional critical area permitting.	3. New construction or related activity connected with an existing single-family dwelling may be considered exempt from additional critical area permitting,	
		not reasible, need to demonstrate.	and overall list of criteria.		provided no such exemption has been previously granted and all the following	
				criteria are met: []	criteria are demonstrated met: []	
	19.100.130.A.3.E	Include "significant habitat" in addition to	Concur, but clarification can be made in 19.100.130.A.3.F	e) The expansion does not result in the loss of significant trees; f) A	f) A Habitat Management Plan or Wetland Report that meets the requirements	
		the "loss of significant trees"		Habitat Management Plant or Wetland Report that meets the requirements	contained within Chapter 19.700 (Special Reports), including demonstration of 'no	
				contained within Chapter 19.700 (Special Reports) is provided to support and mitigate for the expanded footprint.	net loss of ecological function ', is provided to support and mitigate for the expanded footnoint	
					footprint.	
	19.100.135.A.6	Include reference to 19.700 and BAS	Partially concur. Clarification that the mitigation plan needs to meet the	A mitigation plan (where required) has been submitted and is approved	6. A mitigation plan that meets the requirements of Chapter 19 700 Twhere	
		compliance	standards in 19.700 is prudent. Requiring that said plan be based on	for the proposed use of the critical area.	required) has been submitted and is approved for the proposed use of the critical	
			BAS is redundant since a plan meeting the standards in 19.700 and the		area.	
			rest of the CAO would be considered to be meeting BAS at the time of			
			code adoption. Requiring BAS at the time of application would create a moving target, possibly without appropriate standards in place.			
	19.100.145- Special Use Review	Process not identified		Special use review is an administrative process unless the underlying permit	Special use review is conducted as part of the underlying permit process. No	
			unless the underlying permit requires a public hearing". The special use review is not a separate permit but an added review for certain uses	requires a public hearing.	additional permit application is required and all typical notices will apply to the underlying permit.	
			identified in code to be subject to this chapter. All typical notices will		ancerging perme.	
			apply to the underlying permit. Clarity is proposed.			
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		•				

	19.100.155.D		Mitigation sequencing, by definition, must include first avoiding the			
		geohazards and CARA	impacts to critical areas, followed by minimization and finally			
			compensatory mitigation. This has not changed, only moved to this chapter to clarify that mitigation sequencing applies to all critical areas.			
			Geohazards and CARAs must also be avoided and minimized. This			
			would include avoiding placement of a structure or use within the			
			critical area or buffer, followed by minimizing any necessary impacts (less grading or selecting a use that has less potential impact to the			
			aquifer). These are demonstrated through project narratives or special			
			reports (geotech, etc.).			
41						
	19.150	Need to define 'no net loss'; 'habitat';	There are many terms used in GMA that are not defined in the Act or			
		'functions and values' (add	regulations and some are not easily reduced to a specific, as opposed to		10 150 441 No Not Less The anciety and the accepte of the County is writing	
		hydrology/hydrogeology)	general, definition. Kitsap County has determined that terms like "functions and values" or "loss" are better understood in reference to	The maintenance of the aggregate total of the county's shoreline ecological functions. The no net loss standard requires that the impacts of shoreline	19.150.441 No Net Loss. The maintenance of the aggregate of the County's critical area ecological functions. The no net loss standard requires that the impacts of the	
			the scientific literature about the specific critical area. Clarification to	development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, be identified and	development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, be identified and prevented	
				prevented or mitigated such that there are no resulting adverse impacts on	or mitigated such that there are no resulting adverse impacts on ecological functions	
			the general definition of 'no net loss' from KCC Title 22 (SMP) and adding clarifications to the existing definition of 'functions and values'.	ecological functions or processes. Each project shall be evaluated based on its ability to meet the no net loss requirement. The no net loss standard applies at	or processes. Each project shall be evaluated based on its ability to meet the no net loss requirement. The no net loss standard applies at multiple scales, starting at the	
				multiple scales, starting at the project site. Compensatory mitigation standards		
			A contract of the contract of	include sequencing guidelines to ensure the most appropriate mitigation type	ensure the most appropriate mitigation type and stie are selected, as close to the	
			A contract of the contract of	and site are selected, as close to the impacted location as possible. From 19.150.345 Functions and values. "Functions and values" are generally	<u>impacted location as possible.</u> 19.150.345 Functions and Values. <u>"Functions and values" are generally those natural processes</u>	
			A contract of the contract of	those natural processes and benefits performed or provided by critical areas	and <u>ecological</u> benefits performed or provided by critical areas that are required to	
			A contract of the contract of	that are required to be protected by the GMA. These include, but are not	be protected by the GMA. These include, but are not limited to, improving and	
			A contract of the contract of			
			A contract of the contract of	erosive flows, water attenuation, historical or archaeological importance,	aquatic food chains, reducing flooding and erosive flows, water attenuation,	
25; 36; 43		No. 11. 1.C., W. L.	Array Control and The Array Control	educational opportunities, and recreation.	historical or archaeological importance, educational opportunities, and recreation.	
40		Need to define 'habitat corridor'	Kitsap County Code Title 17-Zoning has provisions in some areas for a habitat corridor which are a minimum of 35-feet in width and are		19.150.386 Habitat corridor. A "habitat corridor" an area with no dimensions less than 35-feet, vegetated with native trees, shrubs and groundcover that connect	
			"vegetated with native trees, shrubs and groundcover that connect		critical areas or permanently preserved natural areas within or adjacent to and	
			critical areas or permanently preservered natural areas within or adjacent to and across the project siteThe corridor shall be protected		across the project site. The corridor shall be legally protected through a covenant, open space or other permanent easement and maintained to exclude nonnative	
			with a native growth protection easement or maintained to exclude		invasive species.	
			nonnative invasive species." Recommend utilizing this existing			Motion: Remove last sentence of recommended addition. This is addressed in the section
			description.			discussing habitat corridors and is regulation rather than definition.
	19.150.170- Buffer			19.150.170 Buffer.		
				"Buffer" means an area that is intended to protect the functions and values of critical areas. Protecting these functions and values includes the preservation		
			A contract of the contract of	of existing native and nonnative vegetation where it exists, unless otherwise		
43		Need to revise 'huffer' definition	Suggested edits provided a list of buffer functions, which are a better fit into the revised definition above for "functions and values".		No change proposed. See revised "functions and values" definition above.	
	19.150.150- Bank stabilization	Add 'stream and shoreline': "Bank		19.150.150 Bank stabilization.	19.150.150 Bank stabilization.	
		stabilization" means lake,	A contract of the contract of	"Bank stabilization" means lake and stream modification including vegetation	"Bank stabilization" means lake <u>and</u> stream <u>or shoreline</u> modification including	
		stream, or shoreline modification including vegetation enhancement	A contract of the contract of	enhancement, used for the purpose of retarding erosion, protecting channels, and retaining uplands.	vegetation enhancement, used for the purpose of retarding erosion, protecting channels, and retaining uplands.	
		used for the purpose of retarding	A contract of the contract of			
44		erosion, protecting channels, and retaining uplands.	Concur			Staff Correction made at deliberations: should not mention shoreline (this is addressed in SMP); no change proposed.
444	19.150.195- Compensation	returning uplanas.	Concu	19.150.195 Compensation.	19.150.195 Compensation.	no change proposed.
		Add: (e.g. wetland, <i>riparian</i>	A contract of the contract of	"Compensation" means replacement of project-induced critical area (e.g.,	"Compensation" means replacement of project-induced critical area (e.g., wetland	
		areas, aquatic areas, fish and	A contract of the contract of	wetland) losses of acreage or functions.	riparian areas, aquatic areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, priority habitats, etc.) losses of acreage or functions.	
		wildlife habitat conservation areas,			inductions, etc.) losses of dereage of functions.	
44	19.150.265- Enhancement	priority habitats, etc.)	Concur		19.150.265 Enhancement.	
	13.130.203 Emiliteement		A contract of the contract of	19.150.265 Enhancement.	"Enhancement" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological	
			A contract of the contract of	"Enhancement" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a wetland to heighten, intensify, or improve	characteristics of a wetland <u>any critical area</u> to heighten, intensify, or improve specific	
			A contract of the contract of		wetland <u>critical area</u> function(s). Enhancement is undertaken for specified purposes such as water quality improvement, flood water retention, or wildlife habitat.	
			A contract of the contract of	such as water quality improvement, flood water retention, or wildlife habitat.	Enhancement results in the gain of selected wetland function(s) but may also lead to	
		Change "wetland" to "any critical area". Add "Enhancement activities could include		Enhancement results in the gain of selected wetland function(s) but may also lead to a decline in other wetland function(s). Enhancement does not result in	a decline in other wetland function(s). Enhancement does not result in a gain in	
		but are not limited to".			wetland area. Enhancement activities could include but are not limited to planting	
				a gain in wetland area. Enhancement activities could include planting		
44; 45	1	Change "hydroperiods in existing		vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands.	
	19.150.411- Hydraulic Project	Change "hydroperiods in existing wetlands" to "critical areas"	Concur. This term is primarily used for wetlands mitigation, but may be applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands.	
	19.150.411- Hydraulic Project			vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to	
	19.150.411- Hydraulic Project	wetlands" to "critical areas"		vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project: "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any	
	19.150.411- Hydraulic Project	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project: "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project.	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited		vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project: "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030.	
44	19.150.411- Hydraulic Project 19.150.466- Preservation	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state."	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation.	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project: "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030.	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.4111 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commanily associated with the protection and maintenance of	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands.	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.4111 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commanily associated with the protection and maintenance of	
44		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area	applicable to other critical areas Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation eosements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation aesements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long.	
44: 45		wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project"	applicable to other critical areas	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands. through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term.	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area	applicable to other critical areas Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands. through the implementation of gapprofiet legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "Hydraulic Project." means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment.	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area	applicable to other critical areas Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands. through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term.	
	19.150.466- Preservation	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands. through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area of functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the gool of returning natural or fill storical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wellones, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wellones, though the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goad of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material.	
44; 45 44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands, through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation aesements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.252 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a	
	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the solt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands. through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area of functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the gool of returning natural or fill storical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wellones, This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wellones, though the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goad of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material.	
	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetdonds. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commanly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.252 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing astructural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aguatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological	
	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by on action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and mointenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation dessent result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "Hydroulic Project." "Hydraulic Project." means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those, critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a formacteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a formacteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a formacteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a	
	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment 19.150.540- Restoration	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commanly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former or degraded wetterney wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing astructural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aguatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commanly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former or degraded wetterney wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in to	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. 19.150.416 Preservation so construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. 19.150.466 Preservation. 19.150.466 Preservation means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas welfonds. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas welfonds. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas welfonds through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the lang term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wellond. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded critical area wellond. For the purpose of tracking net gains in	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment 19.150.540- Restoration	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by on action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms, such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "19.150.466 Preservation so the state" os defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands by the special properties of the state of the state of the special properties of the state of the state of the special properties of the state of the special properties of the state of the special properties of t	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment 19.150.540- Restoration	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of ony of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.540 Restarbishment. was the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restaration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation. 19.150.630 Utilities. "Utilities" means facilities or structures that produce or carry services	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands by though the implementation of appropriate legal and, physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded critical area wetland for the proper of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation.	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment 19.150.540- Restoration 19.150.630- Utilities	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by on action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms, such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation.	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "19.150.466 Preservation so the state" os defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands by the special properties of the state of the state of the special properties of the state of the state of the special properties of the state of the special properties of the state of the special properties of t	
44; 45	19.150.466- Preservation 19.150.525- Reestablishment 19.150.540- Restoration	wetlands" to "critical areas" WAC 220-660-030 (78) should be cited directly for the definition of "hydraulic project" Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands. Revised to encompass any critical area instead of being limited to wetlands.	applicable to other critical areas Concur Concur Concur	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. 19.150.411 Hydraulic Project. "Hydraulic Project" means construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state." 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, wetlands by an action in or near those wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment: "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or historical functions to a former wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation. 19.150.630 Utilities. "Utilities" means facilities or structures that produce or carry services consumed by the public, such as electrical power, solar power, gas, sewage,	vegetation, controlling non-native or invasive species, and modifying site elevations to alter hydroperiods in existing wetlands. "19.150.411 Hydraulic Project." "Hydraulic Project." "Hears construction or other work activities conducted in or near state waters that will "use, divert, obstruct, or change the natural flow or bed of any of the salt or fresh waters of the state" as defined in WAC 220-660-030. 19.150.466 Preservation. "Preservation" means the removal of a threat to, or preventing the decline of, critical areas wetlands by an action in or near those critical areas wetlands. This term includes activities commonly associated with the protection and maintenance of critical areas wetlands through the implementation of appropriate legal and physical mechanisms such as recording conservation easements and providing structural protection like fences and signs. Preservation does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or functions but may result in a gain in functions over the long term. 19.150.525 Reestablishment. "Reestablishment" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historical functions to a former critical area wetland. Activities could include removing fill material, plugging ditches, or breaking drain tiles. 19.150.540 Restoration. "Restoration" means the manipulation of the physical, chemical, or biological characteristics of a site with the goal of returning natural or historic functions to a former or degraded critical area wetland. For the purpose of tracking net gains in wetland acres, restoration is divided into re-establishment and rehabilitation. 19.150.630 Utilities. "Utilities" means facilities or structures that produce or carry services consumed by the public, such as electrical power, solar power, wind power, gas, sewage, water,	

.

	19.200.205.A			A. Achieve no net loss and increase the quality, function and values of	A. Achieve no net loss and increase the quality, function and values of wetland	
				wetland acreage within Kitsap County by maintaining and enhancing, when	acreage within Kitsap County by maintaining and enhancing, when required, the	
		Need to address movement of small		required, the biological and physical functions and values of wetlands with	biological and physical functions and values of wetlands with respect to water	
		animals and amphibians, especially with	Concur; will also address concerns about exempt wetlands and	conveyance, fish and wildlife habitat, primary productivity, recreation, and	quality maintenance, stormwater and floodwater storage and conveyance, fish and wildlife habitat, movement of small animals and amphibian species, primary	
45	19.200.210.B.3	regard to smaller wetland functions	amphibians noted elsewhere	education; 3. Category III Wetlands. Category III wetlands are those wetlands with a	productivity, recreation, and education; 3. Category III Wetlands. Category III wetlands are those wetlands with a moderate	
	15.200.210.8.3		This definition is from Ecology, but can be refined to exact definition:	moderate level of function and can often be adequately replaced with	level of function and can often be adequately replaced with well-planned mitigation.	
43		delete "can often be replaced with mitigation."	"can often be adequately replaced with a well-planned mitigation project."	mitigation. Category III wetlands score between sixteen and nineteen points	Category-III wetlands-score-between-sixteen and nineteen-points on the wetlands- ratings system.	Motion: Remove recommended addtion of "well-planned".
45	19.200.210.C	Eliminating or reducing exemptions for	project.	C. Exemptions for Small Wetlands. Category III wetlands that are less than	C. Exemptions for Small Wetlands. Category III and IV wetlands that are less than	Worden. Remove recommended addition of weir-planned.
		small wetlands from the code in 19.200.210C Wetland identification and	Partially concur. Recommend reducing exemption from 4,000 square	one thousand square feet and Category IV wetlands that are less than four thousand square feet are exempt from the buffer provisions in this chapter	one thousand square feet and Category IV wetlands that are less than four thousand square feet are exempt from the buffer provisions in this chapter when the following	
47; 45		functional rating	feet to 1,000 square feet per Ecology recommendation	when the following are met: []	are met: []	
	19.200.215.B.2			The applicant shall be responsible for hiring a qualified wetlands specialist to determine the wetland boundaries by means of a wetland delineation. This	The applicant shall be responsible for hiring a qualified wetlands specialist to determine the wetland boundaries by means of a wetland delineation , preferably	
				specialist shall stake or flag the wetland boundary. When required by the	<u>conducted during the growing season</u> . This specialist shall stake or flag the wetland	
				department, the applicant shall hire a professional land surveyor licensed by the state of Washington to survey the wetland boundary line. The wetland	boundary. When required by the department, the applicant shall hire a professional land surveyor licensed by the state of Washington to survey the wetland boundary	
		Need to specify appropriate time for		boundary and wetland buffer established by this chapter shall be identified on	line. The wetland boundary and wetland buffer established by this chapter shall be	
45		wetland delineations; should be during growing season.	Concur, but clarification for preference rather than a requirement	all grading, landscaping, site, on-site septic system designs, utility or other development plans submitted in support of the project.	identified on all grading, landscaping, site, on-site septic system designs, utility or other development plans submitted in support of the project.	Motion: Remove recommended addition of "preferably conducted during the growing season".
	19.200.220.B.1	Need to clarify which agency and who is	Concur; reference should be consultation with Dept. of Ecology for	B. Increased or Enhanced Wetland Buffer Width.		
		conducting wetland delineations; have wetland specialist determining whether	wetlands, not WDFW. Staff are working with Ecology staff to determine if more specificity can be provided on what a 'fully vegetated buffer'	1.The buffer widths in Tables 19.200.220(B) through (E) assume that the buffer is vegetated with a native plant community appropriate for the	B. Increased or Enhanced Wetland Buffer Width. 1.The standard buffer widths in Tables 19.200.220(B) through (E) assume that the	
		buffer is 'fully vegetated'.	might be quantified as. The Department of Ecology has indicated that their recommended buffers (based on BAS) assume a buffer is	ecoregion. In addition to the buffer widths based on the criteria in Tables 19.200.220(B)	buffer is vegetated with a native plant community appropriate for the ecoregion.	
			functional when fully vegetated. Therefore, even when a proposal is	through (E), the department may increase buffer widths or require enhanced	In addition to the buffer widths based on the criteria in Tables 19.200.220(B) through (E), the department may increase buffer widths or require enhanced buffer	
			meeting the buffer width, the buffer functions would not be met unless fully vegetated. The intent is that this would apply mostly to new	buffer vegetation on a case-by-case basis when necessary and in consultation with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and affected Tribes(s) as	vegetation on a case-by-case basis when necessary and in consultation with the	
			development, and not likely to small projects and additions. To that	applicable:	Washington Department of Ecology Fish and Wildlife and affected Tribes(s) as applicable:	
			end, clarification is proposed for consideration based on Ecology guidance documents.	 a.To protect wetland functions and values to meet the 'no net loss' objective of this chapter; 	a.To protect wetland functions and values to meet the 'no net loss' objective of this	
			300000000000000000000000000000000000000	b.When the wetland or buffer area is located within a landslide or erosion	chapter; b.When the wetland or buffer area is located within a landslide or erosion hazard	
				<u>hazard area; or</u> c.When the standard buffer has minimum vegetation cover or is vegetated	area; or	
				with non-native or invasive species that do not perform needed functions.	c. When the standard buffer has minimum vegetation cover or is vegetated with non- native or invasive species that do not perform needed functions.	
					When the standard buffer is exempt and otherwise able to demonstrate 'no net loss'	
					<u>based on the criteria in Sections 19.100.125 (Exemptions) and 19.100.130 (Standards</u> for Existing Development), the buffer will not be required to be increased or	
41; 43; 48		Walantill and size			enhanced.	
		"shall" require	The 'may require' rather than 'shall require' was intentional, including			
			the 'case-by-case' language. This is going to be based on the criteria and			
43			the analysis from the wetland specialist and there may be extenuating circumstances for the specific project where this is not feasible.			
	19.200.220.B.2			2.If any of the scenarios in subsection 1 apply, the buffer width may be	2.If any of the scenarios in subsection 1 apply, the buffer width may be increased	
				increased to the next highest buffer width for the identified wetland category	per Table 19.200.220(F) below, to the next highest buffer width for the identified	
			Clarification is proposed to add a table to indicate what the 'next highest buffer' would default to. Staff also continue to work with	in the buffer tables in 19.200.220(A), unless a wetland report demonstrates an alternative buffer width meets the 'no net loss' objective.	wetland category in the buffer tables in 19.200.220(A), unless a wetland report demonstrates an alternative buffer width meets the 'no net loss' objective. [See	
			Ecology to better clarify what a 'fully functioning buffer' would be	attendave bajjer widarnicets the nonecross objective.	Appendix A for Table 19.200.220(F)]	
			defined as. Preliminary discussions with Ecology have indicated, "Pending some additional research into best available science we	For example, a Category III wetland with a moderate level of function for habitat, adjacent to a single-family residential use (moderate land use) would	For example, a Category III wetland with a moderate level of function for habitat,	
			believe a minimum of 60% cover would represent a well vegetated	have a standard buffer of 110-feet. If determined a greater width is necessary,	adjacent to a single-family residential use (moderate land use) would have a	
			buffer. The vegetation cover would need to be comprised primarily of native species appropriate to the ecoregion and not consist mostly of	the increased buffer width would be 150-feet. If the land use intensity is already rated as high, then the next largest buffer width for the higher wetland	standard buffer of 110 feet. If determined a greater width is necessary, the increased buffer width would be 150 feet. If the land use intensity is already rated as high, then	
41		ı	invasive plant species."	category will apply.	the next largest buffer width for the higher wetland category will apply.	
			The 'may require' rather than 'shall require' was intentional, since it will depend on the criteria in this section and analysis from the wetland			
43		"department shall increase buffer"	specialist.			
	19.200.220.B.3	How determining 'fully vegetated' or enhancement needed? Would this apply	Buffer enhancement is required when the buffer is not 'fully vegetated'			
		to existing development?	A mitigation plan by a wetland specialist would be required to develop	3.When required, buffer enhancement is preferred to increasing the buffer width. Enhancement of the buffer through native planting or invasive species		
			an appropriate planting/mitigation plan. 'Fully Vegetated' and applicability to existing development is proposed for clarification in	removal shall be demonstrated infeasible or ineffective prior to buffer width		
41	19.200.220.C.1.a and 1.b		subsection B.1 (above).	increases. When applicable, the order of sequence for buffer reductions shall be as	See proposed changes to B.1 above	
	15.200.220.C.1.d dHu 1.0			follows:	When applicable the order of severe for buffer and the severe for	
				a.Use of buffer averaging under KCC 19.200.220.C, maintaining one hundred	When applicable, the order of sequence for buffer reductions shall be as follows:	
		Clarify that when hoffer accessing in	Concur. See proposed revision.	percent of the buffer area under the standard buffer requirement;	a. Use of buffer averaging (Type I) under KCC 19.200.220.C, maintaining one	
		Clarify that when buffer averaging is proposed, no further buffer reductions		b.Type I administrative critical area buffer reduction;	hundred percent of the buffer area under the standard buffer requirement; b. Only when buffer averaging is not feasible, a Type I administrative critical area	
31	10 200 220 C 2 2 2 2 2 5	may be approved.		2 When proposing buffer guaraging, the fellowing shall be seen.	buffer reduction;	
	19.200.220.C.2.a and 2.b			2.When proposing buffer averaging, the following shall be met;		
				a.The applicant submits a Wetland Mitigation Plan that meets the requirements as described in Chapter 19.700 (Special Reports), including	2. When proposing buffer averaging, the following shall be met;	
				demonstration of mitigation sequencing as described in 19.100.155.D and that	a. The applicant submits a Wetland Mitigation Plan that meets the requirements as	
			Concur; See proposed revision. Duplicative language removed.	such averaging can clearly provide as great or greater functions and values as would be provided under the standard buffer, and that the decrease in buffer	described in Chapter 19.700 (Special Reports), including demonstration of mitigation sequencing as described in 19.100.155.D; and - b.	
			January See proposed revision. Duplicative language removed.	would be provided under the standard buffer, and that the decrease in buffer width is minimized by limiting the degree or magnitude of the regulated	That such averaging can clearly provide as great or greater functions and values as	
		"No net loss" and "as great or greater"		activity; b.The conditions are sufficient to assure 'no net loss' of ecological functions of	would be provided under the standard buffer and not adversely impact the wetland, and that the decrease in buffer width is minimized by limiting the degree or	
		criteria are duplicative or need to be		the wetland;	magnitude of the regulated activity ; and	
43		clarified. Replace "no adverse impact" criteria from current CAO.			b.The conditions are sufficient to assure 'no net loss' of ecological functions of the wetland:	
	19.200.220.C.6		This would significantly reduce the number of locations where habitat			
43		Wider (300') wildlife corridor	corridors could be established as most properties will not have authority over widths of that size.	<u>The corridor must be</u> relatively undisturbed, <u>and</u> vegetated corridor at least one hundred feet wide.		
	19.200.220.C.7		It is correct in that a ministerial is typically one that does not involve			
				7. 3. Variance. In cases where proposed development cannot meet the	7. 3. Variance. In cases where proposed development cannot meet the Type I	
		Clarification needed on Type II	1 permits as ministerial is no longer fully accurate. The County will	<u>buffer averaging or the</u> administrative buffer reduction criteria described in	<u>buffer averaging or the</u> administrative buffer reduction criteria , <u>or the Type II</u>	
		'administrative' process (how different from Type I Ministerial/Administrative and	propose updates to KCC 21.04 in the future for clarity; the CAO descriptions are accurate. Clarification is proposed where necessary to		administrative buffer reduction criteria described in this section, a <u>Type III quasi-judicial</u> variance shall be required as described in Section 19.100.135. Applicants may	
41; 43	19.200.220 Table F	Type III Variance	indicate permit type.	Section 19,200,230.	propose to utilize provisions contained in Section 19.200.230.	
	15.200.220 Table F		This table represents EXAMPLES of measures to minimize and are			
			directly from the Dept. of Ecology guidance. Part of demonstrating mitigation sequencing is explaining what is being done to minimize or			
41		Minimization measures- concerns with lights, noise, runoff measures	why certain types of measures may not be feasible or appropriate. No changes are proposed.	See referenced table.		
		one, noise, ranoli illeasures	1			

	19.200.220.D.1- Fencing		Concur; Addresses other similar comments regarding BMPs for	Wetland buffers shall be temporarily fenced or otherwise suitably marked, as	Wetland buffers shall be temporarily fenced or otherwise suitably marked, as	
			amphibians.	required by the department, between the area where the construction activity	required by the department, between the area where the construction activity occurs	
					and the buffer. Fences shall be made of a durable protective barrier and shall be	
					highly visible. Silt fences and plastic construction fences may be used to prevent	
		Add language about protection for		to prevent encroachment on wetlands or their buffers by construction. Temporary fencing shall be removed after the site work has been completed	encroachment on wetlands or their buffers by construction, <u>but such fences must</u> allow for the movement of amphibians and small animals. Temporary fencing shall	
		amphibians when using temporary silt		and the site is fully stabilized per county approval.	be removed after the site work has been completed and the site is fully stabilized per	
45		fencing			county approval.	
	19.200.220.F Pesticides	The current exemption for pesticide use is			Propose moving existing language from just applying to "Utilities", to 19.200.220(D)-	
		too broad. Pesticides should be a	KCC 19.200.220.F is the section for trails in wetland buffers. Pesticides		Protection of Buffers: (3) No pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers may be used in	
		technique of last resort.	are mentioned under the "Utilities" section and states: "No pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers may be used in wetland areas or their buffers		wetland areas or their buffers except those approved by the U.S. Environmental	
			except those approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		<u>Protection Agency (EPA) and Washington Department of Ecology. Where approved,</u> they must be applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe application	
			(EPA) and Washington Department of Ecology. Where approved, they		practices on the label.	
			must be applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe			
			application practices on the label." If the intent is to include this to			
			apply more generally, this language could be appropriately moved to a different section. It is not recommended to modify the existing			
40			language, as it would become too restrictive and unable to be enforced.			
40	19.200.225.D	Include same provision for Land Use and	language, as it would become too restrictive and driable to be emorced.	19.300.315.G In order to avoid the creation of nonconforming lots, each new	Add as 19.200.225.D.5. In order to avoid the creation of nonconforming lots, each	
		Subdivision in 19.200 as provided for in		lot shall contain at least one building site that meets the requirements of this	new lot shall contain at least one building site that meets the requirements of this	
		the same section for 19.300.		title, including buffer requirements for habitat conservation areas. This site	title, including buffer requirements for habitat conservation areas. This site shall also	
				shall also have access and a sewage disposal system location that are suitable		
21			Concur	for development and does not adversely impact the fish and wildlife conservation area.	development and does not adversely impact the fish and wildlife conservation area.	
31	19.200.230.E.3	Consider if mitigation is approved at state	11 11	conservation area.		
		or federal level, allowing approval at	consideration of state or federal approved alternatives. Concurrent			
		County-level	review with all involved agencies is ideal, to allow for collaboration and			
			discussion of appropriate mitigation measures, as well as to allow SEPA			
			process to incorporate the appropriate plans. This, however, is a policy decision and not directed by code or legislation No changes are			
			proposed.			
	19.300					
	Quantitative impacts needed					
			DCD is in the process of developing a more robust tracking and			
			monitoring program for the CAO. Both HMPs and Wetland report			
			requirements outline the various ecological functions that are expected			
			to be analyzed both qualitatively and quantitatively. Development of			
		unan and a salah s	any further guidelines for exactly which metrics need to be reported			
24; 26		HMPs need to address quantitative impacts to functions	and how, would need to come from state guidance or as a result of the aforementioned tracking and monitoring efforts.			
	RMZ's / SPTH	impacts to functions	alorementioned tracking and monitoring enorts.			
			The 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft has utilized the 'hybrid' approach for			
			riparian buffers. The buffers are predictive and use the existing stream-			
			typing method, but are proposed to be increased to be consistent with			
			the Best Available Science used in development of the SPTH Model. Type N buffers have been doubled from 50 to 100 feet, and Type F			
			buffers have been increased from 150 to 200 feet. SPTH values in the			
			County range from 100-235 feet, and the Type F buffers were derived			
			using a GIS analysis of SPTH values to approximate a SPTH in the upper-			
			mid range. The County's consultant has prepared a memo addressing			
			BAS and new WDFW Riparian Management Guidance and provided this			
			analysis and recommended use of predictive buffers. The County may			
13; 30; 44; 45; 47		Use RMZ/SPTH	consider adding the SPTH method as a voluntary alternative or for demonstrating a lesser buffer width is appropriate (see below).			
15, 50, 44, 45, 47					19.300.315.A. 3: General Buffer Alternative. As an alternative method for	
					determining a site-specific buffer, the Site Potential Tree Height model from the	
					Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife may be voluntarily utilized. A site-	
			Concur; Potential to add between 19.300.315.A.2 and A.3 as 'General		specific soil analysis will need to be completed by a licensed geologist or related professional, as well as an analysis by a habitat biologist on how the tool was used	
44		Allow for SPTH as alternative method	Buffer Alternative'		to determine the site-specific buffer.	
	Buffers					
			Kitsap County is proposing buffers that are consistent with Best			
			Available Science and state recommended guidance. Kitsap County has			
			also proposed additional standards for addressing situations where			
			buffers are not adequately vegetated. This is more protective of critical areas than the current CAO. The Alternative UGA buffer allowance			
			recognizes that some buffers would not reasonably be able to achieve			
			full riparian function due the surrounding, built environment. This			
			allows for certain redevelopment and infill to occur when specific			
			criteria are met and incentivizes ecosystem restoration. These required			
			criteria are key for allowing lower buffer as an alternative within the			
		Proposed buffers, including for Alternative	UGA only. Staff are preparing further documentation to support the proposed buffer widths. The proposed UGA alterative was also			
		UGA buffers, are inadequate; are not	proposed, in part, to explore options for urban areas to meet GMA			
24; 26; 45		using BAS	goals, such as reduced sprawl and provision of affordable housing.			
			The Alternative UGA buffer allowance recognizes that some buffers in			
			the UGAs would not reasonably be able to achieve full riparian function due the surrounding built environment. This allows for certain			
			redevelopment and infill to occur, when specific criteria are met and			
			incentivizes ecosystem restoration. These criteria are key for allowing			
			lower buffer. Additional analysis to be provided separately. The			
		If Alternative UGA buffers are good	proposed UGA alterative was also proposed, in part, to explore options			
41		enough, why can they not be used in other areas?	r for urban areas to meet GMA goals, such as reduced sprawl and provision of affordable housing.			
41		arcas:	provision of antordable flousing.			
			If a project meets the criteria set forth to use the alternative UGA buffer			
			width, it is possible that they could still apply for buffer averaging,			
			buffer reduction, or variance using that alternative width as the starting			
			point. However, that project would still need to meet all criteria that applies to a buffer reduction, which includes being able to provide as			
		Do not permit buffer reductions if	great or greater critical area functions and values as determined by a			
44		Alternative UGA buffer are used	licensed professional and consultation with WDFW.			
	19.300.305.E- Policy	Add 'restore functions and values over		E. Retain and restore riparian buffers to the maximum extent practicable to	E. Retain and restore riparian buffers to the maximum extent practicable to preserve	
45		time'.	Partially Concur. Consistent with rest of policy; use 'enhance'.	preserve functions and values over time.	and enhance functions and values over time.	
	19.300.310.B.3 Type O Stream	Major impact				
			The new "Type O" classification is by definition limited in applicability.			
			These systems are not currently mapped and application would be on a			
25			site-specific basis to protect critical headwater systems.			

7

41; 45		Clarify definition Consider lower buffer (25-50')	Concur. See proposed revision. Consider reducing Type O buffer to 50-feet rather than 100-feet. The	3. Type O ("Other"). There exist isolated streams in the County that have no surface connection to Type S. F. or N waters, are non-fish-bearina, but infillrate entirely nod are critical to downstream flows and overall watershe health. In addition to the DNR stream types above, a Type O stream classification shall be included as Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas when verified on-site by a qualified habitat biologist. Type O Standard Buffer: 100-feet + 15-foot building setback	3. Type 0 ("Other"). Type 0 waters include all stream seaments that are not Type 5. F, or N waters and that are not physically connected to type 5, F, or N water by an above ground channel system, pipe or culvers, stream or wetland. Such streams inflitrate entirely and therefore are critical to downstream flows and overall watershed health. There exist isolated streams in the County that have no surface-connection to Type 5, F, or N waters, or non fish bearing, but inflitrate entirely and are critical to downstream flows and overall watershed health. In addition to the DNR stream types above, a Type 0 stream classification shall be included as Fish and Wildlife habitat Conservation Areas when verified on-site by a qualified habitat Type 0 Strandard Buffer: 50-feet + 15-foot building setback.	Motion: amended the end of paragraph 3 to read: 'Type O streams do not include exceptions to stream definitions set forth in 19.150.600.'
41; 49		Consider lower burier (25-30)	downstream.	Type O Standard Burier. 200-reet 1 13-100t Burianing Secondar	Type o standard durier. Soveet 1 15-tool during seldeck.	
41	Table 19.300.315		The UGA Alternative buffer widths were selected based on what would be a 25% reduction to the proposed standard buffer widths. Buffer functions beyond water quality must still be considered. The recommended guidance of 100-feet is the minimum to address pollutant removal. The Alternative at 75' is already taking into account that the stormwater manual will have required water quality treatment in these urban areas. It is also attempting to maintain or allow enhancement of other buffer functions to the greatest extend feasible. More discussion on these Alternative Buffer widths will be provided in a future staff report.			
41	19.300.315.A.2 Buffer measurement	Clarify how wetland and stream buffers interact in measurement	This section proposed to be clarified to state that the greater of the stream or wetland buffer shall apply when both are present.	2. Buffer Measurement. Distances shall be measured from the ordinary high water mark (OHM) or from the top of the bank where the OHM cannot be identified. Buffer widths shall be measured from the edge of the Channel Migration Zone, where applicable. The buffer width shall be increased to include streamside wetlands, which provide overflow storage for storm waters, feed water back to the stream during low flows or provide shelter and food for fish. In broided channels, the ordinary high water mark or top of bank shall include the entire stream feature.[]	2. Buffer Measurement. Distances shall be measured from the ordinary high water mark (OHM) or from the top of the bank where the OHM cannot be identified. Buffer widths shall be measured from the edge of the Channel Migration Zone, where applicable. The buffer width shall be increased where streamside wetland buffers exceed the stream buffer width. The greater buffer width shall opply when critical area buffer widths overlop. Streamside wetlands. The buffer width shall be increased to include streamside wetlands, which provide overflow storage for storm waters, feed water back to the stream during low flows or provide shelter and food for fish. In braided channels, the ordinary high water mark or top of bank shall include the entire stream feature.[]	
41	19.300.315.A.3	Clarify selection process for use of Alternative UGA buffer widths	Concur. This process for utilizing the Alternative UGA buffer width may be addressed through policy, similar to the Engineered Waiver process used for stormwater review. We would expect to see a modified report or letter from the biologist outlining why this alternative can be applied This would be approved 'over the counter', without a permit application. The form would likely be a cross between this engineered waiver and wetland certification form.		No change to code recommended, but recommend direction on this proposed procedure.	Motion: Memo of revised section provided to Planning Commission was adopted.
42	19.300.315.A.4	Replace "no adverse impact" criteria	Concur; Similar to changed in 19.200 for wetlands. NNL requirement in	i. The applicant submits a habitat management plan (HMP) that meets the requirements as described in Chapter 19.700 (Special Reports), including demonstration of mitigation sequencing as described in 19.100.155.D and that	b. When proposing buffer averaging, the following shall be met: 1. The applicant submits a habitat management plan (HMP) that meets the requirements as described in chapter 19.700 (Special Reports), including demonstration of mitigation sequencing as described in 19.100.155.0 and that such averaging can clearly provide as great or greater functions and values as would be provided under the standard buffer, and that the decrease in buffer width will not adversely impact the fish and wildlife habitat conservation area. Is minimized by impact the fish and wildlife habitat conservation area is minimized by the third of the required details. Ii. The HMP is reviewed and DCD, in consultation as necessary with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, determines that the averaging is the minimum necessary for the permitted use; Iiii. The minimum buffer width at any point will not be less than 75% of the standard buffer width: No. The conditions are sufficient to assure no net loss of ecological functions of the Fish and willigh habitat conservation area; and	
+3	19.300.315.A.5	Should not be limited to ESA listed species	Partially concur. Clarity proposed to be consistent with rest of the FWHCA chapter, including habitats and species with larger buffers per PHS management recommendations and DNR identified plants.	a. The development proposal has known locations of endangered or threatened species for which a habitat management plan indicates a larger	a. The development proposal has known locations of priority habitats and species endangered or threatened species for which a habitat management plan indicates a	
44; 45	19.300.315.A.8	Clarify how a piped stream would not be feasible for future restoration; pipe size should account for climate change		buffer is necessary to protect habitat values for such species; or 8. Piped watercourses. It is recognized that within the urban environment, many historical streams have been substantially modified to accommodate, development. Development along an underground piped watercourse may only require a 15-foot setback on either side (unless otherwise required or, otherwise recorded), of the centerline of the piped watercourse when demonstrated that: a. The segment or immediately adjacent stream segments are not feasible for future restoration; b. The piped stream is currently of adequate size to accommodate flow capacity within the watershed; and c. Riparian functions are still enhanced to the greatest extent possible (rain garders, notive vegetation enhancement, etc.).	b. The piped stream is currently of adequate size to accommodate flow capacity within the watershed, both at time of application and accounting for increased flow due to climate change; and c. Riparian functions are still enhanced to the greatest extent possible (rain gardens, native vegetation enhancement, etc.).	
44	19.300.315.D		referenced document is noted as 'informational only'.	D. Stream Crossings. Any private or public road expansion or construction proposed to cross stream classified within this title, shall comply with the following minimum development standards. All other state and local regulations regarding water crossing structures will apply, and the use of the Water Crossing Design Guidelines (WDFW, 2013) or as amended, is encouraged.	D. Stream Crossings. Any private or public road expansion or construction proposed to cross streams classified within this title, shall comply with the following minimum development standards. All other state and local regulations regarding water crossing structures will apply, and the use of the Water Crossing Design Guidelines (WDFW, 2013) and Incorporating Climate Change into the Design of Water Crossing Structures (WDFW, 2017) or as amended, is encouraged.	
45		Standards should not be limited to spawning areas; alternatives to bridges or bottomless culverts should only be allowed when site conditions would preclude doing so; projects using existing crossings need to upgrade if not meeting WDFW standards	Concur; existing language already partially addresses comments. See proposed revision.	Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2. Bridges or bottomless culverts shall be required for all Type F streams that have salmonid habitat. Other alternatives may be allowed upon submittal of a habitat management plan that demonstrates that other alternatives would not result in significant impacts to the fish and wildlife conservation area, as	1. Crossings shall not occur in salmonid streams unless no other feasible crossing site exists. For new development proposals, if existing crossings are determined to adversely impact or be of insufficient size to maintain function for salmon spawning _holding or passage areas, new or upgraded crossings shall be relocated as determined by the Washington State Deportment of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2. Bridges or bottomless culverts shall be required for all Type F streams that have salmonid habitat. Other alternatives may be allowed upon submittal of a habitat management plan that demonstrates that site conditions would preclude a bridge or bottomless culvert and other alternatives would not result in significant impacts to the fish and wildlife conservation area, as determined appropriate through the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) hydraulic project approval (HPA) process. The plan must demonstrate that salmon habitat will be replaced on a 1:1 ratio.	

8	
---	--

	19.300.315.F Pesticides			No pesticides, herbicides or fertilizers may be used in wetland areas or their		
				buffers except those approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Washington Department of Ecology. Where approved, they must be		
				applied by a licensed applicator in accordance with the safe application		
				practices on the label. If the intent is to include this to apply more generally,		
		The current exemption for pesticide use is too broad. Pesticides should be a		this language could be appropriately moved to a different section. It is not		
40		technique of last resort.	No proposed changes.	recommended to modify the existing language, as it would become too restrictive and unable to be enforced.		
	19.300.315(I)Trails	Provisions for larger/impervious regional	Non-motorized, regional trails must still avoid and minimize critical		6. Regional or public trails and trail-related facilities as identified in the 2013 Kitsap	
		trails should not be provided; consider as roads, not trails	areas. Like other trail systems, these sections serve to acknowledge that regional trails will often need to exceed the width and material	Kitsap County Non-Motorized Facility Plan (and associated recognized	County Non-Motorized Facility Plan (and associated recognized community trails)	
		Todus, not trains		community trails) and as amended, and provided design considerations are made to minimize impacts to critical areas and buffers shall not be subject to	and as amended, and provided design considerations are made to minimize impacts to critical areas and buffers shall not be subject to the platform, trail width, or trail	
			public review process as part of inclusion in a trail plan and will also	the platform, trail width, or trail material limitations above. Such trails and	material limitations above. Such trails and facilities shall be approved through	
			require Special Use Review when no other permit requires a hearing. It would not be appropriate to include these trails under the 'roads'	facilities shall be approved through special use review (Section 19.100.145),	special use review (Section 19.100.145), unless any underlying permit requires a	
			section as the development standards are not applicable. However,	unless any underlying permit requires a public hearing.	public hearing, and must still provide a Habitat Management Plan, demonstrating mitigation sequencing to achieve no net loss of ecological functions.	
			additional language may be added to these sections to clarify that			
			mitigation may still be required for new impacts to buffers or critical areas.			
40; 43; 45			dreas.			
	19.300.315.J.5.a Utilities			Utility corridor construction and maintenance shall protect the	5. Utility corridor construction and maintenance shall protect the environment of	
				environment of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and their buffers by		
					following methods: a. New utility corridors shall be aligned to avoid cutting <u>significant trees as defined</u>	
				inches in diameter at breast height (four and one-half feet) measured on the	in this title, or trees greater than twelve inches in diameter at breast height (four and	
		Add "New utility corridors shall be aligned		uphill side, unless no reasonable alternative location is available.	one-half feet) measured on the uphill side, unless no reasonable alternative location	
44		to avoid cutting significant trees."	Concur		is available.	
	19.300.315.J.5.a.3 Utilities	Hellein on he along the design of the second		In order of preference, new utility corridors shall be located: i. On an existing road;	b. In order of preference, new utility corridors shall be located:	
		Utilities can be placed under streams that do not have culverts. We suggest adding a		ii. On an existing bridge;	i. On an existing road; ii. On an existing bridge;	
		new subsection here that states that new		iii. Placed deep enough under the culvert to allow for future culvert	iii. Placed deep enough under the culvert to allow for future culvert replacement	
		utility conduits will be placed well below		replacement and to avoid grade barriers.	and to avoid grade barriers and <u>otherwise placed well below the scour depth of the</u>	
		the scour depth of the watercourse to prevent natural scouring of the stream			watercourse to prevent natural scouring of the stream bed from exposing the pipeline or cable per WAC 220-660-270(4)(a).	
		bed from exposing the pipeline or cable			- The state of the	
44	19.300.315.K- Bank Stabilization	per WAC 220-660-270 (4) (a).	Concur	The department may require that bank stabilization be designed by a		
	TO.JUU.J.A. DAIIK SIAUIIIZATION			4. The department may require that bank stabilization be designed by a professional engineer licensed in the state of Washington with demonstrated	The department may require that bank stabilization be designed by a	
				expertise in hydraulic actions of rivers and streams. Bank stabilization projects	professional engineer licensed in the state of Washington with demonstrated	
		The last sentence should be updated to an "and" instead of "or" since an HPA will be		may also require a Kitsap County site development activity permit under Title 12 (Storm Water Drainage) or a hydraulic project approval (HPA) from	expertise in hydraulic actions of rivers and streams. Bank stabilization projects may also require a Kitsap County site development activity permit under Title 12 (Storm	
44		required for bank stabilization projects.	Concur	WDFW.	Water Drainage) and of a hydraulic project approval (HPA) from WDFW.	
				The department may require that bank stabilization be designed by a	4. The department may require that bank stabilization be designed by a	
				professional engineer licensed in the state of Washington with demonstrated	professional engineer licensed in the state of Washington with demonstrated	
				expertise in hydraulic actions of rivers and streams, in coordination with a fisheries biologist with experience in stream restoration. Bank stabilization	expertise in hydraulic actions of rivers and streams <u>in coordination with a fisheries</u> or habitat biologist with experience in stream or shoreline restoration (as	
				projects may also require a Kitsap County site development activity permit	applicable). Bank stabilization projects may also require a Kitsap County site	
AE		Design in coordination with biologist	Concur. This change is consistent with existing policy as such activities would require coordination by both an engineer and biologist.	under Title 12 (Storm Water Drainage) or a hydraulic project approval (HPA) from WDFW.	development activity permit under Title 12 (Storm Water Drainage) or a hydraulic project approval (HPA) from WDFW.	
45	19.300.315.N.1 -Enhancement Activities	Design in coordination with biologist	would require coordination by both an engineer and blologist.	N. Enhancement Activities. The following development activities shall be	N. Enhancement Activities. The following development: and/or activities shall be	
			Partially concur. Propose amending to "and/or" to account for projects	exempt from the habitat assessment report and mitigation requirements of	exempt from the habitat assessment report and mitigation requirements of this	
			that require an HPA but not a Site Development Activity Permit. The	this section:		
				<u>uni sectori.</u>	section:	
		Change 'development' to 'activities' to	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may	NII JANUAR	<u>section.</u>	
44	40 200 245 N 2 5-h	Change 'development' to 'activities' to capture broader range	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards			
44	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities		CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe.	
44	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities		CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.	
44	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities		CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by	
44	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities		CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency.	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County.	
44	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities	capture broader range	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency, approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by	
45			CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA.	Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodalplain management plans, water quality plans, and other.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsop County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsop County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the	
45	19.300.315.N.2- Enhancement Activities 19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones	capture broader range	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW).	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Roadplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsop County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsop County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the	
45	19.400	capture broader range Include tribes as appropriate sponsor	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington. Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Hoodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsop County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsop County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW).	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Roadplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required "to make clear that a geologic assessment is a	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Roadplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Roadplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed.	
15 22 47	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required "to make clear that a geologic assessment is a	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County Roadplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards, geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County (Boodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other, plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodalain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAO permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff er proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, maybe requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetalnd reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but Critted ardress, but Critted are outside the original	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetalnd reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but Critted ardress, but Critted are outside the original	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetalnd reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but Critted ardress, but Critted are outside the original	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slape, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For 'moderate hazard' seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washinaton Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment shall may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700.	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to development permit issuance. While the Kitsap CAD may not be the	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runaut distance areater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderale hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement.	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runaut distance areater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderale hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22 47 2; 5; 15;24; 45	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards 19.500 19.600 Groundwater Recharge	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assesment is a standard development permit application requirement. Infiltration; stream flows	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to development permit issuance. While the Kitsap CAO may not be the appropriate avenue for addressing this particular concern, a policy may be added to the Comprehensive Plan to get at this multi-faceted concern.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runaut distance areater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderale hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22 47 2; 5; 15;24; 45	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment agologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement. Infiltration; stream flows well monitoring; saltwater intrusion Neither is addressing changes to	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to development permit issuance. While the Kitsap CAO may not be the appropriate avenue for addressing this particular concern, a policy may be added to the Comprehensive Plan to get at this multi-faceted concern.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S., Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency. Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency. Plan, County floodalain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22 47 2; 5; 15;24; 45	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards 19.500 19.600 Groundwater Recharge	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment will be required" to make clear that a geologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement. Infiltration; stream flows well monitoring; saltwater intrusion Neither is addressing changes to subsurface drainage, stream recharge, and	Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetdand reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to development permit issuance. While the Kitsap CAO may not be the appropriate avenue for addressing this particular concern, a policy may be added to the Comprehensive Plan to get at this multi-faceted concern. The stormwater manual is outside the scope of this update. As additional groundwater recharge development standards were outside	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderale hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	
45 15 22 47 2; 5; 15;24; 45 4	19.400 Mass Wasting/Runout Zones Slope calculation 19.400.425.8- Seismic Hazards 19.500 19.600 Groundwater Recharge	Include tribes as appropriate sponsor Not adequately addressed Diagram needed Revised from "a geologic assessment may be requested" to "a geologic assessment agologic assessment is a standard development permit application requirement. Infiltration; stream flows well monitoring; saltwater intrusion Neither is addressing changes to	CAD permitting procedures apply to 'development', but the standards apply to both development and activities. In some cases, a project may not require a development permit, but would still need an HPA. Concur. This is consistent with other legislatively approved restoration exemptions for Hydraulic Project Approvals (WDFW). Runout zones have been added as indicators of landslide hazard areas in the 3/8/24 Preliminary Draft CAO. Concur. The County is not a provider of water, but DCD may consider additional policies or development standards to address water quantity / recharge concerns. It is expected that HMPs and wetland reports will address ALL critical area functions and values at a site-specific level. Staff are proposing adding groundwater recharge to the definition of 'functions and values' as a point of clarity, but that list is also not intended to be exhaustive. Enhancement proposed to existing policy to partially address, but further development standards are outside the original scope of this update based on available information. Well monitoring, including for saltwater intrusion (conductivity), is conducted by Kitsap Public Health and water purveyors. Kitsap DCD does not monitor wells, only reviews that Health has approved prior to development permit issuance. While the Kitsap CAO may not be the appropriate avenue for addressing this particular concern, a policy may be added to the Comprehensive Plan to get at this multi-faceted concern.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive. Plan, County floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. 15. Areas within potential landslide runout distance greater than the slope, height as measured from toe of slope or as determined in a geological hazards geotechnical report. 2. For "moderale hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.	2. Enhancement projects sponsored by Kitsap County, a federally recognized Tribe, Washinaton Department of Fish and Wildlife, Kitsap County Conservation District, U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington Department of Natural Resources, or other public agency approved by the Director which are consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, County, floodplain management plans, water quality plans, and other plans adopted by the Kitsap County Board of Commissioners. See Appendix B for example diagrams. 2. For "moderate hazard" seismic hazard areas, a geologic assessment, shall, may be requested by the department to confirm the site is suitable for the proposed development. D. Balance competing needs for water supply while preserving essential natural functions and processes, especially for maintaining critical fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring groundwater recharge to maintain natural stream flows. Additional consideration: Potential addition could be added to address projects which may impact groundwater QUANTITY to also require a hydrogeological report when post-development water discharge from the site would exceed pre-development discharge. In such cases, the report would need to assess these impacts and additions would also be needed to 19.700. No proposed changes at this time to the CAO, however additional policies are being	

	19.700.705 and 19.700.715.B.7.a.iii	Need to quantify temporal loss	Concur. Temporal loss is expected to be addressed in mitigation		iii. Discussion of wetland rectification strategies. Where applicable note how	
			reports, however additions to the standards will emphasize this.		temporary impacts, occurring during implementation of the development project,	
				iii. Discussion of wetland rectification strategies. Where applicable note how	could be rectified through restoration and maintenance activities and the time frame	
				temporary impacts, occurring during implementation of the development	for those impacts to be rectified (i.e. temporal loss of functions and values).	
24				project, could be rectified through restoration and maintenance activities.		
	19.700.710.B.8 and 9			8. Analysis of the functional values of existing wetland(s), including	8. Analysis of the functional values of existing wetland(s) and its buffer, including	
		this wording consistent with wording later found in the existing CAO under	and buffer need to be addressed	vegetative, fauna, habitat, water quality, and hydrologic conditions; 9. A summary of proposed activity and potential impacts to the wetland(s)	vegetative, fauna, habitat, water quality, and hydrologic conditions; 9. A summary of proposed activity and potential impacts to the wetland(s) and its	
45		description of plant communities		and its buffer;	buffer:	
	19.700.715.B.6.g.ii		Concur		ii. Qualitative description of the functions performed by the wetland affected	
				relative to the position in the watershed. This may include its role in	relative to the position in the watershed. This may include its role in attenuating	
					flooding, as a corridor for wildlife between different regions of the watershed, as	
		wording changes need to bring the CAO		watershed, as part of a regional flyway, or in improving water quality	part of a regional flyway, moderating downstream temperatures, contributing to	
45		closer to paying special attention to anadromous fish.		regionally.	<u>base flows, maintaining stream flows</u> or in improving water quality <u>locally and</u> regionally.	
43	19.700.715.B.6.j.i	anauromous risii.	Concur	Information on Water Quality, Where Applicable.	regionally.	
				i. Description of any known or observable water quality problems at the	Information on Water Quality, Where Applicable.	
				development site and whether they will continue after the development project	i. Description of any known or observable water quality problems at the	
					development site <u>and downstream until marine waters are reached</u> and whether	
				dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and alkalinity, temperature, turbidity/suspended solids/sediment accretion, nutrients, fecal coliform, and heavy metals.	they will continue after the development project is completed. Basic water quality	
		Proposed edits to address watershed and		solius/sealment accretion, natrients, jecal conjorm, and neavy metals.	parameters that should be considered include dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and alkalinity, temperature, turbidity/suspended solids/sediment accretion, nutrients,	
45		cumulative impacts			fecal coliform, and heavy metals.	
	19.700.720.A- HMP		Concur	A. A HMP is a site investigation report to evaluate the potential presence or	A. A HMP is a site investigation report to evaluate the potential presence or	
				absence of a regulated fish or wildlife species or habitat affecting a subject	absence of a regulated fish or wildlife species or habitat affecting a subject property	
				property and proposed development. This report shall identify how	and proposed development. This report shall identify how development impacts to	
					fish and wildlife habitat from a proposed project will be mitigated. The current	
				be mitigated. WDFW Priority Habitat and Species (PHS) Management Recommendations, dated May 1991, or as amended, and any applicable	WDFW Priority Habitat <u>s</u> and Species (PHS) Management Recommendations, dated <u>May 1991</u> , <u>or as amended</u> , and <u>any</u> applicable species and/or habitat-specific	
				species and/or habitat-specific management regulations approved by WDFW	management regulations approved by WDFW -all applicable volumes and revisions,	
		Remove dated reference and add		all applicable volumes and revisions, or the National Bald-Eagle Management	or the National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines- may serve as guidance for this	
44		"current"		Guidelines may serve as guidance for this report.	report.	
	19.700.720.B.7		Concur		7. 5. Identification of any species of local importance, priority species, priority	
		Add "Identification of any species of local		7. F. Identification of any analysis of least the second of the second o	habitats, or endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species that have a	
		important, priority species, priority		7. 5. Identification of any species of local importance, priority species, or	primary association with habitat on or adjacent to the project area, and assessment	
		<u>habitats</u> , or endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species <u>A WDFW</u>		endangered, threatened, sensitive, or candidate species that have a primary association with habitat on or adjacent to the project area, and assessment of	of potential project impacts to the use of the site by the species. A WDFW PHS database search that is no older than one year from the project submittal.	
		PHS database search that is no older than		potential project impacts to the use of the site by the speciesA WDFW PHS-	database search that is no older than one year from the project submittal.	
44		one year from the project submittal."		database search that is no older than one year from the project submittal.		
	19.700.720.C.2					
				An analysis of the existing species, habitats, and ecological quality, functions and values. This includes but is not limited to a detailed description of	An analysis of <u>the existing species, habitats, and ecological quality, and</u> functions and values. This includes but is not limited to a detailed description of	
				a discussion of any federal, state, or local special management	discussion of any federal, state, or local special management recommendations,	
		Delete the first "and". Revise first		recommendations, including Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife	including Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife habitat management	
		sentence to read "ecological quality, and		habitat management recommendations, that have been developed for species	recommendations, that have been developed for species or habitats located on	
43		functions and values."	Concur.	or habitats located on or adjacent to the project area;	or adjacent to the project area;	
	19.700.720.C.4.a				Add as 19.700.720.C.6 9current C.6 would change to C.7):	
					Site Protection. The mitigation area and any associated buffer shall be protected by a	
				fan 700 745 D 42 l Giv Dutudio - The Williams	legal mechanism such as a critical area tract or a conservation easement. The	
				[19.700.715.B.12.] Site Protection. The mitigation area and any associated	department may approve another legal and administrative mechanism if it is determined to be adequate to protect the site. The following shall be required to	
				conservation easement. The department may approve another legal and	demonstrate compliance and ensure adequate protection of the wetland functions	
				administrative mechanism if it is determined to be adequate to protect the site.		
				The following shall be required to demonstrate compliance and ensure	a. Physical site protection of the remaining fish and wildlife habitat conservation	
				adequate protection of the wetland functions and values:	area boundaries and buffer.	
			Concur. This was not intentionally left out and should be clarified that	a. Physical site protection of the remaining wetland boundaries and buffer.	b. Proof of establishment of a covenant or other approved legal mechanism for the	
		Adding a section similar to KC 19.700.715 B. 12 for wetland site protections to this	mitigation required for stream (HMP) will also require a protective covenant. Language for 'wetland' replaced with 'fish and wildlife habitat	b. Proof of establishment of a covenant or other approved legal mechanism for the remaining wetlands and buffers on the development project site (if any)	remaining fish and wildlife habitat conservation area and buffers on the	
		section of the code	conservation area'.	and a legal site protection mechanism for the compensatory mitigation areas.	development project site (if any) and a legal site protection mechanism for the compensatory mitigation areas.	
	19.700.720.C.6			6. E. A HMP shall be prepared by a fish or wildlife biologist, as defined at	7 6.E. A HMP shall be prepared by a fish or wildlife biologist, as defined at Sections	
				Sections 19.150.320 and 19.150.690. For proposed single-family dwelling	19.150.320 and 19.150.690. For proposed single-family dwelling construction, the	
		Ensure if staff are preparing reports that	1_		department may complete the plan as resources and qualified staff allow . Fees may	
44	10 700 720 Undergra 2	they are qualified.	Concur.	this plan as specified in Title 21.	be collected for this plan as specified in Title 21.	
	19.700.730-Hydrogeo Report		Propose including references to 'water quantity' where appropriate and assessment of changes in onsite infiltration.	A.5 Available surface water and groundwater quality data; A.9. Recommendations on appropriate BMPs (best management practices) or	A.5 Available surface water and groundwater quality and quantity data;	
			assessment of changes in onsite milli auon.	mitigation to assure no significant degradation of groundwater quality	reductions in the annual volume of water infiltration onsite due to the proposed	
				g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	development.	
					A.9. Recommendations on appropriate BMPs (best management practices) or	
					mitigation to assure no significant degradation of groundwater quality or quantity	
		Does not go far enough to quantify				Motion: To not include addition of "quantity" data, and to not include recommended addition of
24; 45	Appendix B	changes in infiltration				cross-reference to storm drainage report.
	пррепих в					
			Concur:			
			Update the GIS data from WDFW to state "Priority Habitats and Species			
			Database" in the fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.			
			Add the GIS data from the "Washington Natural Heritage Program" to			
			the list of WA. Dept. of Natural Resources in the fish and wildlife habitat			
			conservation areas.			
			Update the information source for the LiDAR mapping GIS data from			
			Puget Sound LiDAR Consortium to WA. Dept. of Natural Resources			
44		Update GIS sources	LiDAR portal for the geological hazard areas.		Add / Amend table as suggested.	
44	Appendix E	Update GIS sources Update decision type table for wetland score consistent with rest of 3/8 draft	LiDAR portal for the geological hazard areas. Concur. Error correction to be consistent with changes proposed in Chapter 19.200 of 3/8/24 preliminary draft.		Add / Amend table as suggested. Amend table as suggested.	