



KITSAP COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE BOARD OF COMMISSIONER DIRECTION PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

To meet requirements of the Growth Management Act and conduct a robust community process, Kitsap County began the update of its 2024 Comprehensive Plan in Summer 2022. After over 18 months of public meetings, workshops and other outreach, Kitsap County released draft documents illustrating three alternatives for future growth through 2044. These documents and their included land use alternatives (Alternative 1: No Action, Alternative 2: Focused Growth and Alternative 3: Dispersed Growth) were released in December 2023, outlining different land use patterns for population, housing and employment growth through 2044.

After additional public outreach through early 2024, the Kitsap County Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 5, 2024 to solicit comment towards recommending a Preferred Alternative. This single Preferred Alternative would direct additional environmental and capital facilities review based on revised urban growth area boundaries, zoning composition, rural reclassifications, maximum height and density allowances, tree canopy requirements and other major policy initiatives. The Planning Commission deliberated on March 26, 2024 and made a recommendation on a Preferred Alternative to the Board of County Commissioners.

The Board of Commissioners held a public hearing on the Planning Commission Recommendation as well as the three previously released Alternatives on April 8, 2024 (written record was held open until April 10, 2024). The Board began deliberation on April 17, 2024 and provided direction on a single Preferred Alternative (maps and major policies) on April 24, 2024. A summary of that direction is shown below.

Board of Commissioner Direction – Preferred Alternative

In development of this recommendation, the Board considered the contents for the draft documents, all public comment received, the Planning Commission recommendation and staff feedback. Based on this review their direction on major policies, UGA boundaries and land use maps assumed the following:

- The Planning Commission recommendation, whose foundation was Alternative 2 (Focused Growth), is most in line with regional planning, GMA-consistency and new Commerce requirements. It comes closest to addressing future growth including balancing population and housing needs and achieving employment targets. The Board used this Recommendation as the foundation for their direction.
- The Preferred Alternative should acknowledge potential Critical Area Ordinance (CAO) changes and their implications on developable land.
- Rural areas have substantial existing capacity well beyond 20-year forecast (2024-2044). While improving dramatically, our rural to urban development ratios are not yet meeting Countywide Planning Policy (CPP) policies.
- Increasing housing diversity including missing middle (e.g. townhomes, duplexes, row and cottage housing) and multi-family housing is a priority. This is a priority primarily in urban

centers but also throughout UGAs to improve housing accessibility and improve racial disparities in housing.

- Commerce guidance based on recently passed (HB1220) provides direction on estimated housing need for the 2024-2044 planning horizon. These estimates are distributed by household income ranges based on percentage of Annual Median Income (AMI). The guidance also suggests certain housing types for these income levels (e.g. incomes 80% AMI and below generally require multi-family housing opportunities).
- Based on Commerce guidance for Kitsap, current zoning (No Action - Alternative 1) is significantly below its needed multi-family capacity and above its single-family, detached capacity. (see table below)

UGA	Housing Need 2044	Housing Type Accommodating	Alternative 1 Capacity
0-30%	2,768	Multi-Family, ADU	2,054
0-30% PSH	1,214	Multi-Family, ADU	
31%-50%	2,376	Multi-Family, ADU	
51%-80%	1,996	Multi-Family, ADU	
Sub Total	8,354		
81%-100%	1,028	Single Family – Attached, Single Family Detached, Cottage Housing	7,546
101%-120%	1,012	Single Family – Attached, Single Family Detached, Cottage Housing	
>120%	4,103	Single Family - Detached	
Sub-Total	6,143		

- Requiring continued tree canopy be part of future development is a priority but also carries impacts on developable land.

Based on review of the draft documents, environmental analysis, public outreach and state and regional requirements, the Board of Commissioners directed the following findings for the Preferred Alternative:

- All rural-to-rural reclassification requests should be referred to a 2025+ planning process. This does not apply to any rural requests that requested to be included in urban growth areas

(UGAs). Such requests will be decided with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan adoption in December 2024.

- UGA expansions should be limited to those that increase housing diversity, provide industrial employment opportunities, include existing urban development, entitlements or services, and/or further annexation/incorporation goals.
- Multi-family and missing middle housing should be promoted through regulation revisions and incentives are necessary to promote housing diversity.
 - Maximum densities and heights should be increased, particularly in Regional and Countywide Centers.
 - Parking, lot size and lot dimension regulations should be revised.
 - Expedited permitting should be available to multi-family projects in the Centers.
- The Preferred Alternative should assess development limitations based on the environmental protections included in the March 8th Draft Critical Areas Ordinance. For example, the draft includes riparian buffer expansions along streams (both Fish and Non-Fish) and their implications on urban development potential must be considered in land capacity.
- Tree canopy requirements should be established that strongly incentivize the retention of mature and/or significant trees.

Based on these findings, below are UGA and area-specific details regarding the Board direction on the Preferred Alternative. Please see the Exhibits for specific details on UGA boundaries and land use designations.

Kingston UGA and Countywide Center

Action	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Kingston UGA - Exhibits A and B			
Lindvog UGA Expansions	Rural Residential	Include in the UGA boundary as Urban Medium	Do not include the Urban Medium to the east of Lindvog Road in the UGA boundary.
Urban Medium along SR104	Rural Residential	Include in the UGA boundary as Urban Medium	Include in the UGA boundary as Urban Medium.
Arborwood Expansion - West	Rural Residential	Include the western portion of Arborwood in the UGA boundary as Urban Cluster	Include the western portion of Arborwood in the UGA boundary as Urban Cluster
Arborwood Expansion - East	Rural Residential	Do not include Urban Low along South Kingston Road, adjacent to Arborwood in the UGA.	Do not include Urban Low along South Kingston Road, adjacent to Arborwood in the UGA.

Action	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
NK School District Expansion	Rural Protection	Urban Low (consistent with the remainder of the school property)	Include the northern portion of the NKSD property in the UGA boundary as Urban Low and remove the Urban Restricted on the north side of Barber Cut-Off Road.
Assumed Densities	UVC – 12 DU/acre C – 0 DU/acre UM – 12 DU/acre	UVC – 18 DU/acre C – 30 DU/acre UM – 20 DU/acre	UVC – 18 DU/acre C – 30 DU/acre UM – 20 DU/acre
Density Ranges	UVC – 10-No Max C – 10-30 DU/acre UM – 10-18 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre	UVC – 10-No Max C – 19-No Max UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	UVC – 10-No Max C – 19-No Max UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	UVC – 45 feet C – 35 feet UM – 45 feet	UVC – 45 feet C – 55 feet UM – 45 feet	UVC – 45 feet C – 55 feet UM – 45 feet
Center Boundary	No Boundary	See Map Below	See Map Below
Center Incentives	None	Expedited Permitting – Multi-Family only	Expedited Permitting – Multi-Family only
Storefront Zone	Not included	Not included	Not included
Transit Frequency	Current	30-minute frequency	30-minute frequency

Poulsbo UGA

UGA Boundary: Alternative 1

The Poulsbo UGA is associated with the City of Poulsbo. Kitsap has an inter-local agreement with the City to use its zoning and assumptions within its associated UGA. The City of Poulsbo is currently updating its Comprehensive Plan which will determine any changes to regulations within the UGA. The City has also supported no change to its UGA boundary as it has adequate capacity within its existing city limits.

See Exhibit C for additional details regarding the UGA boundary and composition.

Silverdale UGA (Outside of Regional Center Boundary)

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Silverdale UGA (Outside the Regional Center) - Exhibit D			
Silverdale Way Expansions	Rural Residential	Rural Residential	Remain Rural Residential outside of the UGA.
Assumed Densities	C – 0 DU/acre UH – 22 DU/acre UM – 12 DU/acre	C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 18 DU/acre	C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 18 DU/acre
Density Ranges	C – 10-30 DU/acre UH – 19-30 DU/acre UM – 10-18 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre	C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	C – 35 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet	C – 55 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet	C – 55 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet

Silverdale Regional Center

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Silverdale Regional Center - Exhibit E			
Ridgetop Property	Outside the Regional Center Boundary	Outside the Regional Center Boundary	Outside the Regional Center Boundary
Old Town area	Outside the Regional Center Boundary	Inside the Regional Center Boundary (for infrastructure and transit purposes)	Inside the Regional Center Boundary (for infrastructure and transit purposes)
Assumed Densities	RC – 10 DU/acre C – 0 DU/acre UH – 22 DU/acre UM – 12 DU/acre	RC – 35 DU/acre C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 20 DU/acre	RC – 35 DU/acre C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 20 DU/acre
Density Ranges	RC – 10-30 DU/acre C – 10-30 DU/acre UH – 19-30 DU/acre UM – 10-18 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre	RC – 19-No Max DU C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	RC – 19-No Max DU C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL/UCR – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Silverdale Regional Center - Exhibit E			
Maximum Structure Height (Base)	RC – 55/65 feet C – 55 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet Old Town – 35/45 feet	RC – 65/125 feet C – 55/85 feet UH – 55/85 feet UM – 45/85 feet Old Town – 35/45 feet	RC – 65/125 feet C – 55/85 feet UH – 55/85 feet UM – 45/85 feet Old Town – 35/45 feet
Center Boundary	Current Boundary	See Exhibit E	See Exhibit E
Center Incentives	None	Expedited Permitting – Multi-Family	Expedited Permitting – Multi-Family
Transit Frequency	Current	30-minute frequency	30-minute frequency

Central Kitsap UGA

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Central Kitsap UGA/McWilliams Center - Exhibit F			
Property East of Brownsville Hwy	Rural Commercial	Include within the UGA boundary as Commercial	Include within the UGA boundary as Neighborhood Commercial
Assumed Densities	C – 0 DU/acre UH – 22 DU/acre UM – 12 DU/acre	C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 15 DU/acre	C – 30 DU/acre UH – 30 DU/acre UM – 15 DU/acre
Density Ranges	C – 10-30 an acre UH – 19-30 DU/acre UM – 10-18 DU/acre	C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre	C – 19-60 DU/acre UH – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre
Maximum Structure Height	C – 35 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet UL - 5-9 DU/acre	C – 55 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet UL/UCR – 5-9 (14 for SFR attached only)	C – 55 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet UL/UCR – 5-9 (14 for SFR attached only)
Center Boundary	None	See Exhibit G	See Exhibit G
Center Incentives	None	Expedited Permitting – Multi-family development	Expedited Permitting – Multi-family development
Association	Not associated with any city	Associated with the City of Bremerton	Associated with the City of Bremerton

East Bremerton UGA

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
East Bremerton UGA - Exhibit H			
Rozewood/ Fisher Plat Rezone	Urban Low	Urban Low (request for Urban Restricted or Rural Residential not included).	Urban Low (request for Urban Restricted or Rural Residential not included).
Assumed Densities	UM - 12 DU/acre UH - 22 DU/acre C - 0 DU/acre	UM - 15 DU/acre UH - 25 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre	UM - 15 DU/acre UH - 25 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre
Density Ranges	C - 10-30 an acre UM - 10-18 DU/acre UH - 19-30 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre	C - 19-60 DU/acre UM - 10-30 DU/acre UH - 19-60 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	C - 19-60 DU/acre UM - 10-30 DU/acre UH - 19-60 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	C - 35 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet	C - 45 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet	C - 45 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet

West Bremerton UGA

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
West Bremerton UGA - Exhibit I			
Ueland Expansion	Rural Residential/Mineral Resource	Alternative 2 Expansion (only eastern portion)	Alternative 2 with the addition of eastern 80 acres from Alternative 3.
City of Bremerton Northern Expansion	Rural Residential	Urban Low (full Bremerton request included due to existing sewer service)	Include in UGA boundary: PC recommendation except most of the Urban Low area east of Chico Way. The Board requests additional outreach by Bremerton.
City of Bremerton Southern Expansion	Rural Residential	Urban Low (full Bremerton request included due to existing sewer service)	Include in the UGA boundary: northern private parcels as Urban Low. City-owned parcels as Parks. The Board requests additional outreach by Bremerton.
Assumed Densities	UM - 12 DU/acre C - 0 DU/acre	UM - 15 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre	UM - 15 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
West Bremerton UGA – Exhibit I			
Density Ranges	C – 10-30 an acre UM – 10-18 DU/acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre	C – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	C – 19-60 DU/acre UM – 10-30 DU/acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	C – 35 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet	C – 45 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet	C – 45 feet UH – 55 feet UM – 45 feet

Gorst UGA

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Gorst UGA – Exhibit J			
Assumed Densities	C – 0 DU/acre	C – 10 DU/acre	C – 10 DU/acre
Density Ranges	C – 10-30 an acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre	C – 19-60 DU/acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	C – 19-60 DU/acre UL – 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	C – 35 feet	C – 45 feet	C – 45 feet

Puget Sound Industrial Center – Bremerton UGA

UGA Boundary: Alternative 2 with Amendments

Added to Alternative 2:

- “L” Shaped property adjacent to the Olympic View Industrial Park on the northwest of the UGA as Industrial (IND).

Skokomish Tribe UGA Expansion – Not included in the UGA boundary and remains Rural Protection. While the Tribe is applying for federal status of this property which would allow sovereignty over its future development (our code would not apply to its development), the expansion of the UGA boundary must be consistent with state statute and regional and countywide planning policies. The proposal for Commercial zoning adjacent to a PSRC-designated Manufacturing/Industrial Center with Industrial zoning is inconsistent with Comprehensive Plan’s draft goals for Commercial uses to be within compact urban communities with existing or future residential capacity.

See Exhibit K for additional details regarding the UGA boundary and composition.

South Kitsap/Port Orchard UGA

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Port Orchard/South Kitsap UGA - Exhibit L			
NW McCormick Expansion	Rural Protection	Rural Protection (not included in UGA due to single-family focus (UL))	Not included in the UGA. Rural Protection (not included in UGA due to single-family focus (UL)).
Yamamoto UGA Expansion	Rural Protection	Industrial	Not included in the UGA. Remains Rural Protection. Additional discussion with applicant and City of Port Orchard directed by Board.
Sidney Urban Medium Expansion	Rural Protection	Urban Medium	Not included in the UGA. Remains Rural Protection. Additional discussion with applicant and City of Port Orchard directed by Board.
Waters Rezone - Bethel	Urban Low	Commercial (to improve consistency with the rezoning of his adjacent northern properties)	Commercial (to improve consistency with the rezoning of his adjacent northern properties)
Assumed Densities	UM - 12 DU/acre UH - 22 DU/acre C - 0 DU/acre	UM - 15 DU/acre UH - 25 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre (25 DU/acre on Bethel Corridor)	UM - 15 DU/acre UH - 25 DU/acre C - 10 DU/acre (25 DU/acre on Bethel Corridor)
Density Ranges	C - 10-30 an acre UH - 19-30 DU/acre UM - 10-18 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre	C - 19-60 DU/acre UH - 19-60 DU/acre UM - 10-30 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)	C - 19-60 DU/acre UH - 19-60 DU/acre UM - 10-30 DU/acre UL - 5-9 DU/acre (14 for SFR attached only)
Maximum Structure Height	C - 35 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet	C - 45 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet	C - 45 feet UH - 55 feet UM - 45 feet

Rural Areas and Limited Areas of More Intensive Rural Development (LAMIRDs)

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	PC Recommendation	Board Direction
Suquamish/Manchester LAMIRDs			
Lot Aggregation for Non-Conforming Lots	Required based on existing property size.	No Change	No Change
Accessory Dwelling Units (Detached)	ACUP Required	Permitted	Permitted
Rural – Exhibit M			
Rural Reclassification Requests	Current Zoning	No Rural-to-Rural rezones in 2024 (Referred to 2025 process)	No Rural-to-Rural rezones in 2024 (Referred to 2025 process)
Accessory Dwelling Units (Detached)	CUP Required	No Change	No Change

Other Major Policy Proposals

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	Preferred Alternative	Board Direction
GHG Emission Targets	None	PSRC's Regional Targets	PSRC's VISION 2050 Regional Targets
Setbacks (UGAs)	Current	Reduced or removed	Reduced or removed
Lot Dimensions (UGAs)	Current	Reduced or removed	Reduced or removed
Lot Sizes (UGAs)	Current	Reduced or removed	Reduced or removed
Minimum Parking Reductions (Single-Family Development)	2.5 spaces per unit Garages don't count	2.5 spaces per unit Individual unit garages count 1 to requirement	2.5 spaces per unit Individual unit garages count 1 to requirement
Minimum Parking Reductions (Multi-Family Development)	1.5 per unit + 0.5 per unit on street or set aside	Units with 1 or fewer bedrooms: 1 space per unit (minimum) Units with 2 or more bedrooms: 1.5 spaces per unit (minimum)	Units with 1 or fewer bedrooms: 1 space per unit (minimum) Units with 2 or more bedrooms: 1.5 spaces per unit (minimum)

Policy	Current (Alt 1)	Preferred Alternative	Board Direction
Minimum Parking Reductions (Other)	No Change	High-Capacity Transit standards countywide	High-Capacity Transit standards countywide
Tree Canopy Requirements			
Tree requirements based on tree units per acre.	None	Included (based on a common # of units per acre)	Included (based on a common # of units per acre)
Retention of existing trees are incentivized with unit credits.	None	Included (larger tree in diameter are worth more credits)	Included (larger tree in diameter are worth more credits)
Tree units are required with subdivisions or large project approvals only.	None	Included (limited to development that is increasing density, intensity or housing units)	Included (limited to development that is increasing density, intensity or housing units)
Trees within critical area buffers and landscaping count towards these requirements.	None	Included (wetlands, streams and their buffers, steep slopes and landscaping)	Included (wetlands, streams and their buffers, steep slopes and landscaping)

Future Public Process

Kitsap County will use the Preferred Alternative to complete staff draft documents including Comprehensive Plan, Capital Facilities Plan and Development regulations for release by the end of August 2024.

Preliminary Alternative Selected	Draft Documents Released	Public Comment and Outreach for Draft Documents		Preferred Alternative Selected	Final Documents Released	Board Adoption of Plan
April 2023	December 15, 2023	December 15, 2023 - January 31, 2024	January - March 2024	April 2024	August 2024	December 2024
The County Board of Commissioners selected three preliminary land-use alternatives to review for environmental impacts.	Draft EIS, Comprehensive Plan Elements, Capital Facilities Plan, and Development Regulations released for public review.	Public comment period on the draft EIS.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outreach, including virtual/in person public meetings, community advisory council presentations. Briefings and work sessions with Board of County Commissioners and Planning Commission. 	The County Board of Commissioners will hold a public hearing to select a preferred alternative which will include aspects from one or all of the preliminary alternatives.	Final EIS, Comprehensive Plan Elements, Capital Facilities Plan, and Development Regulations released for public review.	The Board of County Commissioners will make a decision on the final version of the proposed Comprehensive Plan.

These documents will receive additional public outreach including additional hearings before the Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners culmination in adoption in December 2024.

EXHIBIT A




EXHIBIT C




EXHIBIT D




EXHIBIT E

SILVERDALE REGIONAL CENTER BOUNDARY




EXHIBIT F




EXHIBIT G

MCWILLIAMS COUNTYWIDE CENTER BOUNDARY




EXHIBIT H




EXHIBIT I




EXHIBIT J




EXHIBIT K




EXHIBIT L





EXHIBIT M

North Kitsap - Commissioner District 1

DRAFT



This map was created from existing map sources, not from field surveys. Creation of these maps is for use with the user, so does the responsibility for understanding the accuracy and limitations of this map and data. The information on this map may have been collected from various sources and can change over time without notice. While great care was taken in making this map, there is no guarantee or warranty of its accuracy, as to labeling, placement or location of any geographic features present. This map is intended for informational purposes only and is not a substitute for a field survey. Kitsap County and its officials and employees assume no responsibility or liability for the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or usefulness of any information on this map. Map Published Date: April 22, 2024.



Zoning - Preferred Alternative Board of Commissioner Direction


Kitsap County Department of Community Development
614 Division Street, MS-36, Port Orchard, Washington 98366
VOICE (360) 337-5777 (Kitsap One) * <https://www.kitsapgov.com/>



Central Kitsap - Commissioner District 3

DRAFT

- RR - Rural Residential (1 DU/5 Ac)
- Mineral Resource/Rural Residential
- RP - Rural Protection (1 DU/10 Ac)
- Mineral Resource/Rural Protection
- RW - Rural Wooded (1 DU/20 Ac)
- Mineral Resource/Rural Wooded
- FRL - Forest Resource Lands (1 DU/40 Ac)
- Mineral Resource/Forest Resource Lands
- BC - Business Center
- IND - Industrial
- Mineral Resource/Industrial
- Commercial (19-60 DU/Ac)
- Regional Center (19 DU/Ac - no max)
- NC - Neighborhood Commercial (10-30 DU/Ac)
- Low Intensity Commercial (10-30 DU/Ac)
- RCO - Rural Commercial
- RI - Rural Industrial
- Greenbelt (1-4 DU/Ac)
- UCR - Urban Cluster Residential (5-9 DU/Ac)
- UR - Urban Restricted (1-5 DU/Ac)
- UL - Urban Low Residential (5-9 DU/Ac)
(14 for Single Family attached only)
- Urban Medium Residential (10-30 DU/Ac)
- Urban High Residential (19-60 DU/Ac)
- KVC - Keyport Village Commercial
- KVLR - Keyport Village Low Residential
- KVR - Keyport Village Residential
- MVC - Manchester Village Commercial
- MVLR - Manchester Village Low Residential
- MVR - Manchester Village Residential
- SVLR - Suquamish Village Low Residential
- Park
- CITY - Incorporated City
- MIL - Military
- T - Tribal Land
- RL - Residential Low



Zoning - Preferred Alternative – Board of Commissioner Direction

Kitsap County Department of Community Development
 614 Division Street, MS-36, Port Orchard, Washington 98366
 VOICE (360) 337-5777 (Kitsap One) * <https://www.kitsapgov.com/>

This map was created from existing map sources, not from field surveys. Determination of fitness for use lies with the user, as does the responsibility for understanding the accuracy and limitations of this map and data.

The information on this map may have been collected from various sources and can change over time without notice.

While great care was taken in making this map, there is no guarantee or warranty of its accuracy as to labeling, placement or location of any geographic features present. This map is intended for informational purposes only and is not a substitute for a field survey.


Kitsap County and its officials and employees assume no responsibility or legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, reliability, or timeliness of any information on this map.

Map Published Date: April 22, 2024



South Kitsap - Commissioner District 2

DRAFT



Zoning - Preferred Alternative – Board of Commissioner Direction

Kitsap County Department of Community Development
 614 Division Street, MS-36, Port Orchard, Washington 98366
 VOICE (360) 337-5777 (Kitsap One) * <https://www.kitsapgov.com/>

This map was created from existing map sources, not from field surveys. Determination of fitness for use lies with the user, as does the responsibility for understanding the accuracy and limitations of this map and data.
 The information on this map may have been collected from various sources and can change over time without notice.
 While great care was taken in making this map, there is no guarantee or warranty of its accuracy as to labeling, placement or location of any geographic features present. This map is intended for informational purposes only and is not a substitute for a field survey.
 Kitsap County and its officials and employees assume no responsibility or legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, reliability, or timeliness of any information on this map.
 Map Published Date: April 22, 2024

