

R/Y/G Working Group

September 27, 2024

1. Recap the main elements of R/Y/G (Title 14 process)
2. Parcel reports
 - a. Robust process/form to dispute the color in the parcel report (R to Y or G). Could be many requesting this.
3. Information beyond the maps underlying parcel reports
 - a. Practice to note in SG where an unmapped feature was found during a review or site evaluation.
 - b. Past reports/assessments
 - c. Land Information System notes from the 90s
4. The yellow parcels likely to generate inquiries
5. Moratoriums included in the current red logic
6. Maps are a snapshot
 - a. Trusting green is green, yellow is yellow, red is red, mutually. Do we want to have a dispute process or trust the ratings. Would need the formatted inputs GIS would need for layer editing. Is this even feasible (spot editing of shape files)? When keyed to a survey makes good sense to add.
 - b. Adding Wild Fish Conservancy mapping soon
 - c. ID team (County, DOE, WDFW, tribal representatives at times) official typing exercise (mainly for streams)
 - i. DNR manages these layers for us
 - ii. ID team conclusions accepted by DNR for updates
 - iii. Site Eval is the mechanism to convene the ID team
7. Review
 - a. Yellow same as today, a buffer. Parcel doesn't have it, the neighbor's does.
8. Parcel mosaic
 - a. Nightly updates
9. Intake checklists
10. Screening
 - a. No naming convention
 - b. Several pages, look like a complete set
 - c. Site plan
 - i. Based on reviewer feedback
 - d. Stormwater worksheet filled out (not blank)
 - i. Review Page 5
 - ii. Documents that back up abbreviated drainage review
 - e. Health
 - i. Right project, not expired
11. Talked about the sections: prerequisites, concurrent, in this application, R/Y, later
12. Kurt to mock up a SFR intake checklist to mark up/finalize as a group

- a. A go-by for the other checklists
13. More homework coming as we move from these bigger sessions focused on fundamentals toward individual checklists, procedures, and the like.

Thanks all!